

SILVERMANACAMPORA LLP
Counsel to Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq.,
Chapter 7 Trustee
100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300
Jericho, New York 11753
(516) 479-6300
David J. Mahoney, Esq.
Anthony C. Acampora, Esq.

Hearing Date: May 14, 2013
Time: 2:00 p.m.

Objections Due: May 7, 2013
Time: 4:00 p.m.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
In re:

Chapter 7
Case No. 09-70660 (DTE)
Substantively Consolidated

AGAPE WORLD, INC.,
AGAPE MERCHANT ADVANCE LLC,
AGAPE COMMUNITY LLC, AGAPE
CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT LLC,
AGAPE WORLD BRIDGES LLC, AND
114 PARKWAY DRIVE SOUTH LLC,

Debtors.

-----X
KENNETH P. SILVERMAN, ESQ., as
Chapter 7 Trustee of Agape World, Inc., *et al.*,

Plaintiff,

Adv. Pro. No. 10-08904 (DTE)

-against-

MICHAEL MORGAN,

Defendant.
-----X

**NOTICE OF MOTION UNDER FEDERAL RULE OF
BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE 9019 FOR AN ORDER APPROVING THE
STIPULATION SETTling THE TRUSTEE'S CLAIM AGAINST MICHAEL MORGAN**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that upon the annexed Motion (the "Motion"), Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., the chapter 7 trustee (the "Trustee") of the substantively consolidated estate of Agape World, Inc., *et al.*, by his counsel, SilvermanAcampora LLP, will move before the Honorable Dorothy T. Eisenberg, United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of New York, located at Long Island Federal Courthouse, 290 Federal Plaza, Central Islip, New York 11722 on **May 14, 2013 at 2:00 p.m.**, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, seeking entry of an order granting the Trustee's Motion under Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a) Seeking the

Entry of an Order Approving the Stipulation Settling the Trustee's Claim against Michael Morgan. A copy of the proposed Order is annexed hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE, that objections, if any, to the relief requested in the Motion or the proposed Order must be (i) made in writing; (ii) electronically filed with the Bankruptcy Court; (iii) delivered to Chambers of the Honorable Dorothy T. Eisenberg, United States Bankruptcy Judge, United States Bankruptcy Court, Eastern District of New York, Long Island Federal Courthouse, 290 Federal Plaza, Central Islip, New York 11722; (iv) mailed to SilvermanAcampora LLP, 100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300, Jericho, New York 11753, Attn: David J. Mahoney, Esq.; and (v) mailed to the Office of the United States Trustee, 560 Federal Plaza, Central Islip, New York 11722, no later than **May 7, 2013 at 4:00 p.m.**

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE, that the hearing may be adjourned without further notice other than by announcement of such adjournment in open court.

Dated: Jericho, New York
April 5, 2013

SILVERMANACAMPORA LLP
Attorneys for Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq.,
the Chapter 7 Trustee

By: s/ Anthony C. Acampora
David J. Mahoney
Anthony C. Acampora
Members of the Firm
100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300
Jericho, New York 11753
(516) 479-6300

SILVERMANACAMPORA LLP
Counsel to Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq.,
Chapter 7 Trustee
100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300
Jericho, New York 11753
(516) 479-6300
David J. Mahoney, Esq.
Anthony C. Acampora, Esq.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
In re:

Chapter 7
Case No. 09-70660 (DTE)
Substantively Consolidated

AGAPE WORLD, INC.,
AGAPE MERCHANT ADVANCE LLC,
AGAPE COMMUNITY LLC, AGAPE
CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT LLC,
AGAPE WORLD BRIDGES LLC, AND
114 PARKWAY DRIVE SOUTH LLC,

Debtors.

-----X
KENNETH P. SILVERMAN, ESQ., as
Chapter 7 Trustee of Agape World, Inc., *et al.*,

Plaintiff,

Adv. Pro. No. 10-08904 (DTE)

-against-

MICHAEL MORGAN,

Defendant.
-----X

**TRUSTEE'S MOTION UNDER BANKRUPTCY
RULE 9019(a) SEEKING THE ENTRY OF AN ORDER APPROVING A
STIPULATION SETTLING THE TRUSTEE'S CLAIM AGAINST MICHAEL MORGAN**

Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., the chapter 7 trustee (the "Trustee") of the substantively consolidated estate of Agape World, Inc., *et al.*, by his attorneys SilvermanAcampora LLP, respectfully submits this motion (the "Motion") under 11 U.S.C. §105 and Rule 9019 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules") for entry of an Order (annexed hereto as **Exhibit 1**) approving the proposed settlement of the Trustee's claim against Michael Morgan (the "Defendant") as memorialized in the Stipulation Settling the Trustee's Claim Against Michael Morgan (the "Stipulation"), which has been annexed hereto as **Exhibit 2**. All

parties are encouraged to review the Stipulation in its entirety for the specific terms of the proposed settlement.

Background

1. On February 5, 2009 (the "Petition Date"), an involuntary chapter 7 petition was filed by four petitioning creditors ("the Petitioning Creditors") pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §303(b), against Agape World, Inc. ("AWI"), in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of New York.

2. On February 9, 2008, the Petitioning Creditors filed a motion to appoint an interim chapter 7 trustee under 11 U.S.C. §303(g).

3. On February 12, 2009, the Court granted the Petitioning Creditors' motion and entered an order directing the United States Trustee's Office to immediately appoint an interim chapter 7 trustee in the AWI case.

4. On February 12, 2009, Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., was appointed the interim trustee in the AWI case, and has since duly qualified and is now the permanent Trustee in the Debtors' substantively consolidated case.

5. On March 4, 2009, the Court issued an Order for relief in the AWI chapter 7 case.

6. On April 14, 2009, the Court issued an Order substantively consolidating AWI, Agape Merchant Advance LLC, Agape Community LLC, Agape Construction Management, LLC, Agape World Bridges LLC, and 114 Parkway Drive South LLC (collectively, "Agape" or the "Debtors").

7. Thereafter, pursuant to an Order of this Court dated April 21, 2009 (Docket No. 106), the Trustee retained Navigant Consulting Inc. ("Navigant") to, among other things, conduct a forensic analysis of Agape's books and records.

8. Based upon Navigant's analysis, the Trustee determined that Defendant received certain transfers totaling Two Hundred Fifty-Four Thousand Six Hundred Seventy-Five and 00/100 (\$254,675.00) Dollars (the "Transfers") made by the Debtors to Defendant that are

avoidable pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§105, 547, 548, 550 and 551, New York Debtor and Creditor Law §§273, 274, 275, 276 and 276-a, and New York common law.

9. On December 6, 2010, the Trustee commenced an adversary proceeding against Defendant (the "Adversary Proceeding") by the filing and service of a summons and complaint under Adv. Pro. No. 10-08904 (DTE) seeking to avoid the Transfers pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§548, 550 and 551, New York Debtor and Creditor Law §§273, 274, 275, 276 and 276-a, and New York common law (the "Claim").

10. On July 19, 2012, the Trustee filed a motion for default judgment (the "Default Motion") against Defendant pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 7055 for failure to respond in the Adversary Proceeding.

11. On September 5, 2012, Defendant interposed an answer.

12. On or about September 11, 2012, the Trustee voluntarily withdrew the Default Motion.

13. Thereafter, the parties engaged in informal discovery related to the Claim and the defenses asserted by Defendant, whereby Defendant demonstrated previously unaccounted for deposits totaling \$190,000.00. Accordingly, Defendant's liability was reduced to \$64,675.00 (the "Net Transfers").

14. The Trustee and Defendant engaged in settlement discussions in an effort to consensually resolve the Adversary Proceeding. In order to avoid the costs, expenses and uncertainty of continued litigation, the parties have agreed to resolve the Claim upon the terms and conditions contained in the Stipulation.

15. Defendant has offered to pay \$32,000.00 (the "Settlement Sum") to the Trustee in full and final settlement of the Claim.

16. For all of the reasons set forth herein, the Trustee submits that accepting Defendant's offer to pay the Settlement Sum in full and final settlement of the Claim is a

reasonable exercise of the Trustee's business judgment and is in the best interests of the Debtors' estate.

Settlement

17. The Trustee has determined that settling this matter for the Settlement Sum is the most economical and efficient way to realize a meaningful and beneficial recovery for the benefit of creditors without the need to incur legal fees and risks inherent with the litigation of the Claim and any resulting judgment efforts.

18. After consultation with his retained professionals and in the exercise of his business judgment, the Trustee has determined that the voluntary return of the Settlement Sum outweighs the potential net recovery to the estate if the Trustee elected to litigate the Claim through trial and enforce a resulting judgment against Defendant.

19. In light of the foregoing and mindful of costs and risks of litigating the Claim, the Trustee has agreed to accept the Settlement Sum.

Basis for Relief Requested

20. Rule 9019(a) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules") governs the approval of compromises and settlements, and provides as follows:

On motion by the trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may approve a compromise or settlement. Notice shall be given to creditors, the United States trustee, the debtor, and indenture trustees as provided in Rule 2002 and to any other entity as the court may direct.

FED. R. BANKR. P. 9019(a).

21. In approving the compromise and settlement, the Court is required to make an "informed and independent judgment" as to whether the compromise and settlement is fair and equitable based on an:

[e]ducated estimate of the complexity, expense, and likely duration of such litigation, the possible difficulties of collecting on any judgment which might be obtained, and all other factors relevant to a full and fair assessment of the wisdom of the proposed compromise. Basic to this process in every instance, of course, is the need to compare the terms of the compromise with the likely rewards of litigation.

In re Iridium Operating LLC, 478 F.3d 452, 462 n.15 (2d Cir. 2007) (quoting *Protective Committee for Independent Stockholders of TMT Trailer Ferry, Inc. v. Anderson*, 390 U.S. 414, 424-425, *reh'g denied*, 391 U.S. 909 (1968)). See *In re Arrow Air, Inc.*, 85 B.R. 886, 891 (Bankr. S.D. Fla. 1988); *In re Bell & Beckwith*, 77 B.R. 606, 611 (Bankr.N.D.Ohio), *aff'd*, 87 B.R. 472 (N.D.Ohio 1987); *Cf. Magill v. Springfield Marine Bank (In re Heissinger Resources Ltd.)*, 67 B.R. 378, 383 (C.D. Ill. 1986) ("the law favors compromise").

22. In making its determination on the "propriety of the settlement", the Court should consider whether the proposed settlement is in the "best interest of the estate."¹ As stated in *Arrow Air*, the "approval of [a] proposed compromise and settlement is a matter of this Court's sound discretion." *Arrow Air*, 85 B.R. at 891. In passing upon a proposed settlement, "the bankruptcy court does not substitute its judgment for that of the trustee." *In re Depo*, 77 B.R. at 384 (citations omitted). The bankruptcy court is not required "to decide the numerous questions of law and fact raised by [objectors] [R]ather [the Court should] canvass the issues and see whether the settlement falls below the lowest point in the range of reasonableness."² In passing upon the reasonableness of a proposed compromise, the Court "may give weight to the opinions of the Trustee, the parties and their counsel." *Bell & Beckwith*, 77 B.R. at 612; see also *In re Handler*, 386 B.R. at 421.

23. The Second Circuit in *In re Iridium Operating LLC*³ outlined the following seven factors (the "Iridium Criteria") to be considered by a court in deciding whether to approve a compromise or settlement:

¹ *Handler v. Roth (In re Handler)*, 386 B.R. 411, 420 (Bankr. E.D.N.Y. 2007)(quoting *In re Adelpia Communications Corp.*, 327 B.R. 143, 158 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2005)); *Depo v. Chase Lincoln First Bank, N.A. (In re Depo)*, 77 B.R. 381, 383 (N.D.N.Y. 1987), *aff'd*, 863 F.2d 45 (2d Cir. 1988).

² *Cosoff v. Rodman (In re W.T. Grant Co.)*, 699 F.2d 599, 608 (2d Cir. 1983), *cert denied*, 464 U.S. 822 (1983)(quoting *Newman v. Stein*, 464 F.2d 689, 693 (2d Cir. 1972), *cert denied*, 409 U.S. 1039 (1972); See *In re Handler*, 386 B.R. at 420-21.

³ 478 F.3d 452 (2d Cir. 2007).

- i. the balance between the litigation's possibility of success and the settlement's present and future benefits;
- ii. the likelihood of complex and protracted litigation, with its attendant expense, inconvenience, and delay, including the difficulty in collecting on the judgment if the settlement is not approved;
- iii. the paramount interest of the creditors, including the proportion of class members who do not object to or who affirmatively support the settlement;
- iv. whether other parties in interest support the settlement;
- v. the competency and experience of the counsel who support the proposed settlement;
- vi. the relative benefits to be received by individuals or groups within the class; and
- vii. the extent to which the settlement is the product of arm's length bargaining.

See *In re Iridium Operating LLC*, 478 F.3d at 462.

24. By offering to voluntarily return the Settlement Sum, Defendant has offered to remit a significant portion of the Net Transfers for which the Trustee believes Defendant may be liable in satisfaction of the Claim without causing the Debtor's estate to incur significant fees or expenses

25. The Trustee submits that considering the costs and uncertainties associated with trial and judgment enforcement, and the amount of the Trustee's demand, it is unlikely that further litigation would result in a "net benefit" to the Debtors' estate in excess of the Settlement Sum. In the sound business judgment of the Trustee, the proposed settlement is both appropriate and warranted. The Trustee believes that the settlement is fair and equitable and in the best interest of the estate.

Notice

26. The Trustee has served the Notice of Hearing, proposed Order, and Motion in support with Exhibit upon: (i) the Office of the United States Trustee; (ii) Nicholas Cosmo,

former principal of the Debtors, (iii) Defendant, (iv) Defendant's counsel, (v) the appropriate taxing authorities, and (vi) all parties having filed a Notice of Appearance in this case, and copies have been posted on the Trustee's website located at www.agapeworldbankruptcy.com. The Trustee respectfully submits that the proposed service complies with this Court's Order Establishing Noticing Procedures entered on July 8, 2009 and is otherwise sufficient.

27. No previous application for the relief requested herein has been made to this or any other Court.

WHEREFORE, the Trustee respectfully requests that this Court grant this Motion authorizing and approving the Stipulation and grant such other further and different relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: Jericho, New York
April 5, 2013

SILVERMANACAMPORA LLP
Attorneys for Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq.,
Chapter 7 Trustee

By: s/ Anthony C. Acampora
David J. Mahoney
Anthony C. Acampora
Members of the Firm
100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300
Jericho, New York 11753
(516) 479-6300

EXHIBIT 1

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- X
In re:

AGAPE WORLD, INC.,
AGAPE MERCHANT ADVANCE LLC,
AGAPE COMMUNITY LLC, AGAPE
CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT LLC,
AGAPE WORLD BRIDGES LLC, AND
114 PARKWAY DRIVE SOUTH LLC,

Chapter 7
Case No. 09-70660 (DTE)
Substantively Consolidated

Debtors.

----- X
KENNETH P. SILVERMAN, ESQ., as
Chapter 7 Trustee of Agape World, Inc., *et al.*,

Plaintiff,

Adv. Pro. No.: 10-08904 (DTE)

-against-

MICHAEL MORGAN

Defendant.

----- X

**ORDER UNDER BANKRUPTCY RULE 9019 APPROVING
THE STIPULATION SETTLING THE TRUSTEE'S CLAIM AGAINST MICHAEL MORGAN**

Upon the Notice of Motion (the "Notice"), dated April 5, 2013, and related documents (collectively, the "Motion") of Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., the chapter 7 trustee (the "Trustee") of the substantively consolidated estate of Agape World, Inc., *et al.*, by his counsel, SilvermanAcampora LLP, seeking the entry of an Order approving a Stipulation (the "Stipulation") Settling the Trustee's Claim Michael Morgan (the "Defendant"); and upon the Affidavit of Service filed with the Court; and no objections to the Motion or the proposed Order having been filed; and the Court having found that settling the Claim against Defendant to be fair, reasonable and in the best interest of the Debtors' estate; and sufficient cause having been shown therefor; and after due deliberation and consideration; and it appearing that sufficient notice of the Motion and proposed Order has been given; and it appearing that good and sufficient cause exists for granting the Motion and proposed Order; and no additional notice being necessary or required;

NOW, THEREFORE, upon the Notice and Motion of the Trustee and pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019(a) and other applicable law, it is hereby

ORDERED, that service of the Notice, Motion and proposed Order, having been provided to: (i) the Office of the United States Trustee; (ii) Nicholas Cosmo, Debtors' former principal; (iii) Defendant; (iv) Defendant's counsel; (v) the appropriate taxing authorities; and (vi) all parties having filed a Notice of Appearance in this case (and copies of which have been posted on the Trustee's website located at www.agapeworldbankruptcy.com) complies with this Court's Order Establishing Noticing Procedures entered on July 8, 2009 and is otherwise sufficient; and it is further

ORDERED, that the Motion is granted, and it is further

ORDERED, that the settlement of the Claim against Defendant as memorialized in the Stipulation is approved, and it is further

ORDERED, that the Trustee be, and hereby is authorized and directed to take such steps, execute such documents and expend such funds as may be reasonably necessary to effectuate and implement the terms and conditions of this Order.

EXHIBIT 2

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----x
In re:

AGAPE WORLD, INC.,
AGAPE MERCHANT ADVANCE LLC,
AGAPE COMMUNITY LLC, AGAPE
CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT LLC,
AGAPE WORLD BRIDGES LLC, AND
114 PARKWAY DRIVE SOUTH LLC,

Debtors.

Chapter 7
Case No.: 09-70660 (DTE)
Substantively Consolidated

-----x
KENNETH P. SILVERMAN, ESQ., as
Chapter 7 Trustee of Agape World, Inc., *et al.*,

Plaintiff,

-against-

MICHAEL MORGAN,

Defendant.
-----x

Adv. Pro. No.: 10-08904 (DTE)

**STIPULATION SETTLING THE
TRUSTEE'S CLAIMS AGAINST MICHAEL MORGAN**

I. On February 5, 2009 (the "Filing Date"), an involuntary chapter 7 petition was filed by four petitioning creditors (the "Petitioning Creditors") pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §303(b), against Agape World, Inc. ("AWI"), in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of New York.

II. On February 9, 2009, the Petitioning Creditors filed a motion to appoint an interim chapter 7 trustee under 11 U.S.C. §303(g).

III. On February 12, 2009, the Court granted the Petitioning Creditors' motion and entered an order directing the United States Trustee's Office to immediately appoint an interim chapter 7 trustee in the AWI case.

IV. On February 12, 2009, Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., was appointed the interim trustee in the AWI case, and has since duly qualified and is now the permanent Trustee in the Debtors'

substantively consolidated case.

V. On March 4, 2009, the Court issued an Order for relief in the AWI chapter 7 case.

VI. On April 14, 2009, the Court issued an Order substantively consolidating AWI, Agape Merchant Advance LLC, Agape Community LLC, Agape Construction Management, LLC, Agape World Bridges LLC, and 114 Parkway Drive South LLC (collectively, the "Debtors").

VII. The Trustee and his counsel have investigated the financial affairs of the Debtors, including a detailed analysis of the extent and validity of certain transfers made by the Debtors to Michael Morgan (the "Defendant") prior to the Filing Date.

VIII. On December 6, 2010, the Trustee commenced this adversary proceeding against Defendant by filing a complaint (the "Complaint"), wherein the Trustee asserted that certain transfers totaling \$254,675.00 (the "Transfers") made by the Debtors to Defendant are avoidable pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 105, 544, 547, 548, 550 and 551, New York Debtor and Creditor Law §§ 273, 274, 275, 276 and 276-a, and New York common law (the "Trustee's Claims").

IX. On July 19, 2012, the Trustee filed a motion for default judgment (the "Default Motion") against Defendant pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 7055 for failure to respond to the Complaint.

X. On September 5, 2012, Defendant interposed an answer to the Complaint.

XI. On or about September 11, 2012, the Trustee voluntarily withdrew the Default Motion.

XII. Thereafter, the parties engaged in informal discovery related to the Trustee's Claims and defenses asserted by Defendant.

XIII. In the spirit of compromise and without any admission of liability, Defendant has offered to remit the sum of \$32,000.00 (the "Settlement Sum"), funded collectively by Defendant and his wife, Susan Novak, to the Trustee in full and final settlement of the Trustee's Claims.

XIV. Based upon his review of all documentation related to the Net Transfers, the Trustee has in his business judgment agreed to settle the Trustee's Claims upon the following terms and conditions, which the Trustee believes are fair and reasonable, especially in light of the costs

and uncertainty associated with litigation.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and among the parties hereto, that the Trustee's Claims be resolved upon the terms and conditions set forth herein as follows:

Defendant's Obligation to Pay the Settlement Sum

1. This Stipulation (the "Stipulation") is subject to the approval of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of New York (the "Approval Order").

2. Upon execution of this Stipulation, Defendant will remit the Settlement Sum to "Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., as Chapter 7 Trustee," by delivering a check to Trustee's counsel at SilvermanAcampora LLP, 100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300, Jericho, New York, 11753, Attn: Randy J. Schaefer, Esq.

3. The Settlement Sum shall be held by the Trustee in a segregated "Earnest Money" account until the Approval Order becomes final and non-appealable under 28 U.S.C. §158(c)(2) and Bankruptcy Rule 8002.

4. Upon the Approval Order becoming final and non-appealable, the fourteenth day after the date of the entry of the Approval Order, the Trustee will transfer the Settlement Sum being held in the segregated "Earnest Money" account into the Debtors' estate account. If the Bankruptcy Court denies the entry of the Approval Order, the Trustee will return the Settlement Sum to Defendant counsel without undue delay.

5. If the Court does not enter the Approval Order, this Stipulation will be null and void and none of the terms herein shall be usable as evidence by either party.

Releases

6. Upon the entry of the Approval Order, and the Trustee's receipt and clearance of the Settlement Sum, the Trustee and the estate release and forever discharge (i) Defendant, his affiliated entities, agents, representatives, present or former officers, attorneys, directors, assigns and successors-in-interest from any and all claims, claims for relief, demands, costs,

expenses, damages, liabilities, and obligations of any nature arising out of or relating to the Trustee's Claims or other transfers from the Debtors to Defendant; and (ii) Susan Novak, her affiliated entities, agents, representatives, present or former officers, attorneys, directors, assigns and successors-in-interest from any and all claims, claims for relief, demands, costs, expenses, damages, liabilities, and obligations of any nature arising out of or relating to the Trustee's Claims or other transfers from the Debtors to Susan Novak. For purposes of clarification, nothing contained herein shall be construed to be a release by the Trustee of any claims that the Trustee may have or subsequently discover under 11 U.S.C. § 550(a)(2) and the Trustee is specifically not releasing any claims under 11 U.S.C. § 550(a)(2).

7. Upon the entry of the Approval Order, Defendant releases, discharges and waives any and all claims against the Debtors' Estate, the Trustee and the Trustee's agents, attorneys, assigns and successors-in-interest from any and all claims, claims for relief, demands, costs, expenses, damages, liabilities, and obligations of any nature.

No Admission

8. It is understood and agreed that this Stipulation is entered into to avoid costly and protracted litigation. Neither the execution of this Stipulation, nor the payment of the Settlement Sum shall be construed as an admission on Defendant's part. For clarification, this paragraph is not intended and shall not be deemed to affect Defendant's obligation to make timely payment of the Settlement Sum or adversely affect the Trustee's rights and remedies in the event that Defendant fails to make timely payment of the Settlement Sum.

Miscellaneous

9. This Stipulation may be executed in one or more counterparts, with each part being deemed a part of the original document, and facsimile or other electronic signatures shall be deemed an original signature.

10. The person executing this Stipulation on behalf of each respective party warrants and represents that she or he is authorized and empowered to execute and deliver this

Stipulation on behalf of such party.

11. The Bankruptcy Court shall retain exclusive jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Stipulation, including but not limited to its enforcement and the implementation and interpretation of its terms and conditions.

12. This Stipulation shall be governed by the laws of the State of New York, except with respect to matters as to which federal law is applicable without regard to any conflicts of law principles.

13. This Stipulation may not be altered, modified, or changed unless in writing, signed by the parties or their counsel.

[THE REMAINDER OF THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK]

14. The Trustee and Defendant are each responsible for their own costs and attorneys' fees incurred in connection with this proceeding.

Dated: Jericho, New York
~~February~~ __, 2013
March 28

SILVERMANACAMPORA LLP
Attorneys for Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq.,
the Chapter 7 Trustee

By: s/ David J. Mahoney
David J. Mahoney
Anthony C. Acampora
Members of the Firm
100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300
Jericho, New York 11753
(516) 479-6300

Dated: New York, New York
~~February~~ 22, 2013
March

MCGRAIL & BENSINGER LLP
Attorneys for Defendant

By: s/ Menachem M. Bensinger
Menachem M. Bensinger
676A Ninth Avenue, #211
New York, New York 10036

Dated: Middle Village, Queens
~~February~~ 28, 2013
March

MICHAEL MORGAN
Defendant

By: s/ Michael Morgan
Michael Morgan
65-07 Admiral Avenue
Middle Village, Queens 11379

Dated: Middle Village, Queens
~~February~~ 25, 2013
March

SUSAN NOVAK
Acknowledged and Agreed

By: s/ Susan Novak
Susan Novak
65-07 Admiral Avenue
Middle Village, Queens 11379