

SILVERMANACAMPORA LLP
Counsel to Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq.,
The Chapter 7 Trustee
100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300
Jericho, New York 11753
(516) 479-6300
Anthony C. Acampora, Esq.
David J. Mahoney, Esq.

Hearing Date: December 19, 2013
Time: 2:00 p.m.

Objections Due: December 12, 2013
Time: 5:00 p.m.

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X
In re:

AGAPE WORLD, INC.,
AGAPE MERCHANT ADVANCE LLC,
AGAPE COMMUNITY LLC, AGAPE

CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT LLC,
AGAPE WORLD BRIDGES LLC, AND
114 PARKWAY DRIVE SOUTH LLC,

Debtors.

-----X
KENNETH P. SILVERMAN, ESQ., as
Chapter 7 Trustee of Agape World, Inc., *et al.*,

Plaintiff,

-against-

ANTHONY SERPICO,

Defendant.
-----X

Chapter 7
Case No.: 09-70660 (DTE)
Substantively Consolidated

Adv. Pro. No.: 10-08385 (DTE)

**NOTICE OF MOTION FOR AN ORDER UNDER FEDERAL
RULE OF BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE 9019(a) APPROVING THE
STIPULATION SETTLING THE TRUSTEE'S CLAIMS AGAINST ANTHONY SERPICO**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that upon the motion (the "Motion") of Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., the chapter 7 trustee (the "Trustee") for the substantively consolidated estate of Agape World, Inc., *et al.*, by his counsel, SilvermanAcampora LLP, will move before the Honorable Dorothy T. Eisenberg, United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of New York, located at Long Island Federal Courthouse, 290 Federal Plaza, Central Islip, New York 11722 on **December 19, 2013 at 2:00 p.m.**, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, seeking entry of an Order under Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a) Approving the Stipulation Settling the

Trustee's Claims against Anthony Serpico. A copy of the proposed Order is attached to the Motion as **Exhibit A**.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE, that objections, if any, to the relief requested in the Motion or the proposed Order must be (i) made in writing; (ii) electronically filed with the Bankruptcy Court; (iii) delivered to Chambers of the Honorable Dorothy T. Eisenberg, United States Bankruptcy Judge, United States Bankruptcy Court, Eastern District of New York, Long Island Federal Courthouse, 290 Federal Plaza, Central Islip, New York 11722; (iv) mailed to SilvermanAcampora LLP, 100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300, Jericho, New York 11753, Attn: David J. Mahoney, Esq.; and (v) mailed to the Office of the United States Trustee, 560 Federal Plaza, Central Islip, New York 11722, no later than **December 12, 2013 at 5:00 p.m.**

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE, that the hearing may be adjourned without further notice other than by announcement of such adjournment in open court.

Dated: Jericho, New York
November 21, 2013

SILVERMANACAMPORA LLP
Attorneys for Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq.,
The Chapter 7 Trustee

By: s/ David J. Mahoney
Anthony C. Acampora
David J. Mahoney
Members of the Firm
100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300
Jericho, New York 11753
(516) 479-6300

SILVERMANACAMPORA LLP
Counsel to Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq.,
Chapter 7 Trustee
100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300
Jericho, New York 11753
(516) 479-6300
David J. Mahoney, Esq.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
In re:

Chapter 7
Case No.: 09-70660 (DTE)
Substantively Consolidated

AGAPE WORLD, INC.,
AGAPE MERCHANT ADVANCE LLC,
AGAPE COMMUNITY LLC, AGAPE
CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT LLC,
AGAPE WORLD BRIDGES LLC, AND
114 PARKWAY DRIVE SOUTH LLC,

Debtors.

-----X
KENNETH P. SILVERMAN, ESQ., as
Chapter 7 Trustee of Agape World, Inc., *et al.*,

Plaintiff,

Adv. Pro. No.: 10-08385 (DTE)

-against-

ANTHONY SERPICO,

Defendant.

-----X

**TRUSTEE'S MOTION UNDER BANKRUPTCY RULE 9019(a)
SEEKING ENTRY OF A PROPOSED ORDER APPROVING A STIPULATION
SETTLING THE TRUSTEE'S CLAIMS AGAINST ANTHONY SERPICO**

Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., the chapter 7 trustee (the "Trustee") of the substantively consolidated estate of Agape World, Inc., *et al.*, by his attorneys SilvermanAcampora LLP, respectfully submits this motion (the "Motion") under 11 U.S.C. §105 and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019(a) for entry of a proposed order (the "Proposed Order," annexed hereto as **Exhibit A**) approving the settlement of the Trustee's claims under 11 U.S.C. §§105, 502, 547, 550 and 551 against Anthony Serpico (the "Defendant"), as memorialized in the Stipulation Settling the Trustee's Claims Against Anthony Serpico, (the "Stipulation," annexed

hereto as **Exhibit B**). All parties are encouraged to review the annexed Stipulation in its entirety for the specific terms of the proposed settlement.

Background

1. On February 5, 2009, an involuntary chapter 7 petition was filed by four petitioning creditors pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §303(b), against Agape World, Inc. ("AWI"), in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of New York.

2. On February 9, 2009, the Petitioning Creditors filed a motion to appoint an interim chapter 7 trustee under 11 U.S.C. §303(g).

3. On February 12, 2009, the Court granted the Petitioning Creditors' motion and entered an order directing the United States Trustee's Office to immediately appoint an interim chapter 7 trustee in the AWI case.

4. On February 12, 2009, Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., was appointed the interim trustee in the AWI case, and has since duly qualified and is now the permanent Trustee in the Debtors' substantively consolidated case.

5. On March 4, 2009, the Court issued an Order for relief in the AWI chapter 7 case.

6. On April 14, 2009, the Court issued an Order substantively consolidating AWI, Agape Merchant Advance LLC, Agape Community LLC, Agape Construction Management, LLC, Agape World Bridges LLC, and 114 Parkway Drive South LLC (collectively, "Agape" or the "Debtors").

7. Thereafter, pursuant to an Order of this Court dated April 21, 2009 (Dkt. No. 106), the Trustee retained Navigant Consulting Inc. ("Navigant") to, among other things, conduct a forensic analysis of Agape's books and records, including a detailed analysis of the extent and validity of certain transfers made by the Debtors to Defendant prior to the Filing Date.

8. On or about October 5, 2010, the Trustee commenced an adversary proceeding against Defendant (the "Adversary Proceeding") by filing a complaint asserting that certain transfers totaling Sixty-One Thousand Eight Hundred Fifty-One and 25/100 Dollars (\$61,851.25)

(the "Preferential Transfers"), made by the Debtors to Defendant within 90 days prior to the Filing Date are avoidable pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§547 and 550 (the "Trustee's Claims").

9. On or about January 5, 2011, Defendant interposed an answer to the complaint.

10. The parties to the Adversary Proceeding have engaged in discovery related to the Trustee's Claims and Defendant's defenses.

11. In order to avoid the costs, expenses and uncertainty of continued litigation, the parties have now agreed to resolve the Trustee's Claims upon the terms and conditions contained in the Stipulation.

Settlement

12. Defendant has offered, in full and final settlement of the Trustee's Claims, to pay the sum of Thirty Thousand and 00/100 Dollars (\$30,000.00) (the "Settlement Sum") to the Trustee.

13. Based upon his review of all documentation related to the Preferential Transfers and his consideration of all attendant factors, and in consultation with his retained professionals, the Trustee has determined that settling this matter for the Settlement Sum is the most economical and efficient way to realize a meaningful recovery for the benefit of creditors without the need to incur legal fees and risks inherent with the prosecution of the Trustee's Claims and any resulting judgment efforts.

14. In light of the foregoing and mindful of the costs and risks of litigating the Trustee's Claims and enforcing judgments, the Trustee has agreed to accept the Settlement Sum.

Basis for Relief Requested

15. Rule 9019(a) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules") governs the approval of compromises and settlements, and provides as follows:

On motion by the trustee and after notice and a hearing, the court may approve a compromise or settlement. Notice shall be given to creditors,

the United States trustee, the debtor, and indenture trustees as provided in Rule 2002 and to any other entity as the court may direct.

FED. R. BANKR. P. 9019(a).

16. In approving the compromise and settlement, the Court is required to make an "informed and independent judgment" as to whether the compromise and settlement is fair and equitable based on an:

[e]ducated estimate of the complexity, expense, and likely duration of such litigation, the possible difficulties of collecting on any judgment which might be obtained, and all other factors relevant to a full and fair assessment of the wisdom of the proposed compromise. Basic to this process in every instance, of course, is the need to compare the terms of the compromise with the likely rewards of litigation.¹

17. In making its determination on the "propriety of the settlement," the Court should consider whether the proposed settlement is in the "best interest of the estate."² As stated in *Arrow Air*, the "approval of [a] proposed compromise and settlement is a matter of this Court's sound discretion."³ In passing upon a proposed settlement, "the bankruptcy court does not substitute its judgment for that of the trustee."⁴ The bankruptcy court is not required "to decide the numerous questions of law and fact raised by [objectors] . . . [R]ather [the Court should] canvass the issues and see whether the settlement falls below the lowest point in the range of

¹ *In re Iridium Operating LLC*, 478 F.3d 452, 462 n.15 (2d Cir. 2007) (quoting *Protective Committee for Independent Stockholders of TMT Trailer Ferry, Inc. v. Anderson*, 390 U.S. 414, 424-425, reh'g denied, 391 U.S. 909 (1968)). See *In re Arrow Air, Inc.*, 85 B.R. 886, 891 (Bankr. S.D. Fla. 1988); *In re Bell & Beckwith*, 77 B.R. 606, 611 (Bankr. N.D. Ohio), *aff'd*, 87 B.R. 472 (N.D. Ohio 1987); *Cf. Magill v. Springfield Marine Bank (In re Heissinger Resources Ltd.)*, 67 B.R. 378, 383 (C.D. Ill. 1986) ("the law favors compromise").

² *Handler v. Roth (In re Handler)*, 386 B.R. 411, 420 (Bankr. E.D.N.Y. 2007) (quoting *In re Adelpia Communications Corp.*, 327 B.R. 143, 158 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2005)); *Depo v. Chase Lincoln First Bank, N.A. (In re Depo)*, 77 B.R. 381, 383 (N.D.N.Y. 1987), *aff'd*, 863 F.2d 45 (2d Cir. 1988).

³ *Arrow Air*, *supra*, 85 B.R. at 891.

⁴ *In re Depo*, 77 B.R. at 384 (citations omitted).

reasonableness.”⁵ In passing upon the reasonableness of a proposed compromise, the Court “may give weight to the opinions of the Trustee, the parties and their counsel.”

18. The Second Circuit in *In re Iridium Operating LLC*⁶ outlined the following seven factors (the “Iridium Criteria”) to be considered by a court in deciding whether to approve a compromise or settlement:

- i. the balance between the litigation's possibility of success and the settlement's present and future benefits;
- ii. the likelihood of complex and protracted litigation, with its attendant expense, inconvenience, and delay, including the difficulty in collecting on the judgment if the settlement is not approved;
- iii. the paramount interest of the creditors, including the proportion of class members who do not object to or who affirmatively support the settlement;
- iv. whether other parties in interest support the settlement;
- v. the competency and experience of the counsel who support the proposed settlement;
- vi. the relative benefits to be received by individuals or groups within the class; and
- vii. the extent to which the settlement is the product of arm's length bargaining.⁷

19. The Settlement Sum represents a guaranteed recovery of nearly fifty percent (50%) of Defendant’s potential liability for the Preferential Transfers. Considering the financial disclosure that was provided by Defendant to the Trustee, it would be speculative to believe that the Trustee would be able to recover more than the Settlement Sum through adversarial judgment enforcement.

⁵ *Bell & Beckwith*, 77 B.R. at 612; see also *In re Handler*, 386 B.R. at 421.

⁶ 478 F.3d 452, 462 (2d Cir. 2007).

⁷ See *In re Iridium Operating LLC*, 478 F.3d at 462; See also *In re Handler*, 386 B.R. at 421.

20. The Trustee does not anticipate that any of the Debtors' creditors will object to the Stipulation or the relief requested in this Motion.

21. The Stipulation is a product of extensive arm's length bargaining and exceeds the lowest range of reasonableness consistent with the applicable law.

22. The parties to the Stipulation are represented by competent and experienced counsel.

23. The Trustee submits that, considering the costs and uncertainties associated with trial, and the resulting litigation that would be necessary to enforce the judgment against Defendant, it is unlikely that further litigation would result in a "net benefit" to the Debtors' estate in excess of the Settlement Sum. In the Trustee's sound business judgment, the proposed settlement is both appropriate and warranted. The Trustee believes that the settlement is fair and equitable and in the best interest of the estate.

Notice

24. The Trustee has served the Notice of Motion, proposed Order, and Motion in support (with Exhibits) upon: (i) the Office of the United States Trustee; (ii) Nicholas Cosmo, Debtors' former principal; (iii) Defendant; (iv) Defendant's counsel; (v) the Internal Revenue Service and other governmental agencies to the extent required by the Bankruptcy Rules and the Local Rules; and (vi) all parties having filed a Notice of Appearance in this case, and copies have been posted on the Trustee's website located at www.agapeworldbankruptcy.com. The Trustee respectfully submits that the proposed service complies with this Court's Order Establishing Noticing Procedures entered on July 8, 2009 and is otherwise sufficient.

25. No previous application for the relief requested herein has been made to this or any other Court.

WHEREFORE, the Trustee respectfully requests that this Court grant this Motion approving the Stipulation and grant such other further and different relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: Jericho, New York
November 21, 2013

SILVERMANACAMPORA LLP
Attorneys for Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq.,
The Chapter 7 Trustee

By: s/ David J. Mahoney
Anthony C. Acampora
David J. Mahoney
Members of the Firm
100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300
Jericho, New York 11753
(516) 479-6300

EXHIBIT A

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
In re:

AGAPE WORLD, INC.,
AGAPE MERCHANT ADVANCE LLC,
AGAPE COMMUNITY LLC, AGAPE
CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT LLC,
AGAPE WORLD BRIDGES LLC, AND
114 PARKWAY DRIVE SOUTH LLC,

Debtors.

-----X
KENNETH P. SILVERMAN, ESQ., as
Chapter 7 Trustee of Agape World, Inc., *et al.*,

Plaintiff,

-against-

ANTHONY SERPICO,

Defendant.
-----X

Chapter 7
Case No.: 09-70660 (DTE)
Substantively Consolidated

Adv. Pro. No.: 10-08385 (DTE)

**ORDER UNDER FEDERAL RULE OF BANKRUPTCY
PROCEDURE 9019(a) APPROVING THE STIPULATION
SETTLING THE TRUSTEE'S CLAIMS AGAINST ANTHONY SERPICO**

Upon the Notice of Motion (the "Notice"), dated November 21, 2013, and related documents (collectively, the "Motion") of Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., the chapter 7 trustee (the "Trustee") of the substantively consolidated estate of Agape World, Inc., *et al.*, by his counsel, SilvermanAcampora LLP, seeking the entry of an Order approving the Stipulation Settling the Trustee's claims against Anthony Serpico (the "Defendant"), and upon the Affidavit of Service filed with the Court; and no objections to the Motion or the proposed Order having been filed; and the Court having found that settling the Trustee's claims arising under 11 U.S.C. §§105, 502, 547, 550 and 551, is reasonable and in the best interest of the Debtors' estate; and sufficient cause having been shown therefor; and after due deliberation and consideration; and it appearing that sufficient notice of the Motion and proposed Order has been given; and it

appearing that good and sufficient cause exists for granting the Motion and proposed Order; and no additional notice being necessary or required:

NOW, THEREFORE, upon the Trustee's Notice and Motion and pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019(a) and other applicable law, it is hereby

ORDERED, that service of the Notice and Motion and proposed Order, having been provided to: (i) the Office of the United States Trustee; (ii) Nicholas Cosmo, Debtors' former principal; (iii) Defendant; (iv) Defendant's counsel; (v) the Internal Revenue Service and other governmental agencies to the extent required by the Bankruptcy Rules and the Local Rules; and (vi) all parties having filed a Notice of Appearance in this case, and copies have been posted on the Trustee's website located at www.agapeworldbankruptcy.com complies with this Court's Order Establishing Noticing Procedures entered on July 8, 2009 and is otherwise sufficient; and it is further

ORDERED, that the Motion is granted, and it is further

ORDERED, that the settlement of the Trustee's claims against Defendant as memorialized in the Stipulation is approved, and it is further

ORDERED, that the Trustee be, and hereby is authorized and directed to take such steps, execute such documents, and expend such funds as may be reasonably necessary to effectuate and implement the terms and conditions of this Order.

SO ORDERED:

EXHIBIT B

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----x
In re:

AGAPE WORLD, INC.,
AGAPE MERCHANT ADVANCE LLC,
AGAPE COMMUNITY LLC, AGAPE
CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT LLC,
AGAPE WORLD BRIDGES LLC, AND
114 PARKWAY DRIVE SOUTH LLC,

Debtors.

Chapter 7
Case No.: 09-70660 (DTE)
Substantively Consolidated

-----x
KENNETH P. SILVERMAN, ESQ., as
Chapter 7 Trustee of Agape World, Inc., *et al.*,

Plaintiff,

Adv. Pro. No.: 10-08385 (DTE)

-against-

ANTHONY SERPICO,

Defendant.
-----x

**STIPULATION SETTLING THE
TRUSTEE'S CLAIM AGAINST ANTHONY SERPICO**

I. On February 5, 2009 (the "Filing Date"), an involuntary chapter 7 petition was filed by four petitioning creditors (the "Petitioning Creditors") pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §303(b), against Agape World, Inc. ("AWI"), in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of New York.

II. On February 9, 2009, the Petitioning Creditors filed a motion to appoint an interim chapter 7 trustee under 11 U.S.C. §303(g).

III. On February 12, 2009, the Court granted the Petitioning Creditors' motion and entered an order directing the United States Trustee's Office to immediately appoint an interim chapter 7 trustee in the AWI case.

IV. On February 12, 2009, Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., was appointed the interim trustee in the AWI case, and has since duly qualified and is now the permanent Trustee in the Debtors' substantively consolidated case.

V. On March 4, 2009, the Court issued an Order for relief in the AWI chapter 7 case.

VI. On April 14, 2009, the Court issued an Order substantively consolidating AWI, Agape Merchant Advance LLC, Agape Community LLC, Agape Construction Management, LLC, Agape World Bridges LLC, and 114 Parkway Drive South LLC (collectively, the "Debtors").

VII. The Trustee and his counsel have investigated the financial affairs of the Debtors, including a detailed analysis of the extent and validity of certain transfers made by the Debtors to Anthony Serpico ("Defendant") within 90 days prior to the Filing Date.

VIII. On October 5, 2010 the Trustee commenced this adversary proceeding against Defendant by filing a complaint, asserting that certain transfers totaling Sixty-One Thousand Eight Hundred Fifty-One and 25/100 Dollars (\$61,851.25) (the "Transfers") made by the Debtors to Defendant within 90 days prior to the Filing Date are avoidable pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§547 and 550 (the "Trustee's Claim").

IX. On or about January 5, 2011, Defendant interposed an answer to the complaint.

X. Thereafter, the parties engaged in informal discovery related to the Trustee's Claim and the defenses asserted by Defendant.

XI. In the spirit of compromise and without any admission of liability, Defendant has offered to remit the sum of Thirty Thousand and 00/100 Dollars (\$30,000.00) (the "Settlement Sum") to the Trustee in full and final settlement of the Trustee's Claim.

XII. Based upon his review of all documentation related to the Transfers and his investigation of all attendant factors, the Trustee has, in his business judgment, agreed to settle the Trustee's Claim upon the following terms and conditions, which the Trustee believes are fair and reasonable, especially in light of the costs and uncertainty associated with litigation.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and among the parties hereto, that the Trustee's Claim is resolved upon the terms and conditions set forth herein as follows:

Defendant's Obligation to Pay the Settlement Sum

1. This Stipulation (the "Stipulation") is subject to the approval of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of New York (the "Approval Order").

2. Defendant will pay the Settlement Sum by delivering checks made payable to "Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., as Chapter 7 Trustee," to the Trustee's counsel at SilvermanAcampora LLP, 100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300, Jericho, New York, 11753, Attn: Gayle S. Gerson, Esq. The Trustee has authorized Defendant to pay the Settlement Sum in ten (10) installments (each, an "Installment" and collectively, the "Installments"). The first Installment, in the amount of \$3,000.00, is due upon the execution of this Stipulation. The second Installment, in the amount of \$3,000.00, is due on or before November 1, 2013. The third Installment, in the amount of \$3,000.00, is due on or before December 1, 2013. The fourth Installment, in the amount of \$3,000.00, is due on or before January 1, 2014. The fifth Installment, in the amount of \$3,000.00, is due on or before February 1, 2014. The sixth Installment, in the amount of \$3,000.00, is due on or before March 1, 2014. The seventh Installment, in the amount of \$3,000.00, is due on or before April 1, 2014. The eighth Installment, in the amount of \$3,000.00, is due on or before May 1, 2014. The ninth Installment, in the amount of \$3,000.00, is due on or before June 1, 2014. The tenth Installment, in the amount of \$3,000.00, is due on or before July 1, 2014. Nothing contained herein shall preclude or penalize Defendant from pre-paying any Installment or any portion of any Installment.

3. If Defendant fails to make timely payment of any Installment of the Settlement Sum in accordance with paragraph 1, above, or if Defendant's payment is dishonored for any reason whatsoever and Defendant does not cure such default within ten (10) business days after written notice sent by Federal Express or other reputable overnight courier to Defendant

Draft has
paid \$6,000
as of 11/20

and Defendant's counsel at the addresses set forth herein, then the Trustee shall be entitled to move before the Bankruptcy Court, without further notice and upon the affidavit by the Trustee attesting to the default (a) for the entry of a judgment against Defendant in the amount of Sixty-One Thousand Eight Hundred Fifty-One and 25/100 Dollars (\$61,851.25), plus costs, less any sums previously paid as provided herein, and (b) for any further relief necessary to enforce his rights under this Stipulation. Written notice of default shall be sent to (i) Defendant at 69 Ramona Avenue, Staten Island, New York 10312 and (ii) Defendant's counsel at Sitaris & Associates, P.C., 33 Whitehall Street, 16th Floor, New York, New York 10004, Attn: George Sitaris, Esq.

4. All Installments of the Settlement Sum shall be held by the Trustee in a segregated "Earnest Money" account until the Approval Order becomes final and non-appealable under 28 U.S.C. §158(c)(2) and Bankruptcy Rule 8002.

5. Upon the Approval Order becoming final and non-appealable, the fourteenth day after the date of the entry of the Approval Order, the Trustee will transfer the Settlement Sum being held in the segregated "Earnest Money" account into the Debtors' estate account. If the Bankruptcy Court denies the entry of the Approval Order, the Trustee will return the Settlement Sum to Defendant without undue delay.

6. If the Court does not enter the Approval Order, this Stipulation will be null and void and none of the terms herein shall be usable as evidence by either party.

Releases

7. Upon the entry of the Approval Order, and the Trustee's receipt and clearance of all Installments of the Settlement Sum, the Trustee and the estate release and forever discharge Defendant, his affiliated entities, agents, representatives, present or former officers, attorneys, directors, assigns and successors-in-interest from any and all claims, claims for relief, demands, costs, expenses, damages, liabilities, and obligations of any nature arising out of or relating to the Claim. For purposes of clarification, nothing contained herein shall be construed to be a

release by the Trustee of any claims that the Trustee may have or subsequently discover under 11 U.S.C. §550(a)(2) and the Trustee is specifically not releasing any claims under 11 U.S.C. §550(a)(2).

8. Upon the entry of the Approval Order, Defendant releases, discharges and waives any and all claims against the Debtors' Estate, the Trustee and the Trustee's agents, attorneys, assigns and successors-in-interest from any and all claims, claims for relief, demands, costs, expenses, damages, liabilities, and obligations of any nature.

Effect of Settlement upon Timely-Filed Proofs of Claim

9. Upon the entry of the Approval Order and the Trustee's receipt and clearance of all Installments of the Settlement Sum, the Trustee and the estate specifically release, discharge and waive the Second Claim for Relief to disallow any proof of claim timely filed by Defendant pursuant to Bankruptcy Code §502(d).

10. The parties to the Stipulation acknowledge and agree that, notwithstanding paragraphs 7 and 8, above, the Stipulation and the settlement memorialized herein are not intended, and should not be interpreted to otherwise release, waive or discharge either party's rights, defenses, claims or objections with respect to any proof of claim that was timely-filed by Defendant in the Debtors' substantively-consolidated case. For the purposes of clarification, by entering into this Stipulation, the Trustee has not, is not intending to, and shall not be deemed to have validated, approved or otherwise allowed any proof of claim previously filed by Defendant, and the Trustee reserves all rights to object to any such proof of claim.

11. Upon the Trustee's receipt and clearance of the Settlement Sum, but not more than sixty (60) days after delivery of the final Installment of the Settlement Sum (the "Delivery Date")¹, Defendants shall have the right to file a claim in the amount of the Settlement Sum pursuant to Bankruptcy Code §502(h) (the "502(h) Claim"), as follows:

¹ The Delivery Date shall be the date upon which the final Installment of the Settlement Sum is post-marked.

(a) Send the original 502(h) Claim to Agape World, Inc., *et al.*, c/o Rust Consulting/Omni Bankruptcy, 5955 De Soto Ave., Suite 100, Woodland Hills, CA 91367, Attn: Claims Processing; and

(b) Send one (1) copy of the 502(h) Claim to the Trustee's counsel, Silverman Acampora LLP, 100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300, Jericho, New York 11753, Attn: Brett S. Silverman, Esq.

Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Stipulation, the Trustee shall have the right to object to any 502(h) Claim:

- (i) if Defendants fail to tender any Installment of the Settlement Sum to the Trustee;
- (ii) if any Installment of the Settlement Sum fails to clear the Trustee's account;
- (iii) if the 502(h) Claim is not filed within sixty (60) days of the Delivery Date; or
- (iv) for such other reason as provided under the Bankruptcy Code or the Federal

Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure.

No Admission of Criminal or Civil Liability

12. It is understood and agreed that this Stipulation is entered into to avoid costly and protracted litigation. Neither the execution of this Stipulation, nor the payment of the Settlement Sum shall be construed as an admission of any criminal or civil liability on Defendant's part. For clarification, this paragraph is not intended and shall not be deemed to affect Defendant's obligation to make timely payment of any Installment of the Settlement Sum or adversely affect the Trustee's rights and remedies in the event that Defendant fails to make timely payment of any Installment of the Settlement Sum.

Miscellaneous

13. This Stipulation may be executed in one or more counterparts, with each part being deemed a part of the original document, and facsimile or other electronic signatures shall be deemed an original signature.

14. The person executing this Stipulation on behalf of each respective party warrants and represents that she or he is authorized and empowered to execute and deliver this Stipulation on behalf of such party.

15. The Bankruptcy Court shall retain exclusive jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Stipulation, including but not limited to its enforcement and the implementation and interpretation of its terms and conditions.

16. This Stipulation shall be governed by the laws of the State of New York, except with respect to matters as to which federal law is applicable without regard to any conflicts of law principles.

17. This Stipulation may not be altered, modified, or changed unless in writing, signed by the parties or their counsel.

REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

18. The Trustee and Defendant are each responsible for their own costs and attorneys' fees incurred in connection with this proceeding.

Dated: Jericho, New York
September __, 2013

SILVERMANACAMPORA LLP
Attorneys for Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq.,
The Chapter 7 Trustee

By: s/ David J. Mahoney
Anthony C. Acampora, Esq.
David J. Mahoney, Esq.
Members of the Firm
100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300
Jericho, New York 11753
(516) 479-6300

Dated: Staten Island, New York
September __, 2013

Anthony Serpico
Defendant

By: s/ Anthony Serpico
Anthony Serpico
69 Ramona Avenue
Staten Island, New York 10312

Sitaris & Associates, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendant

By: s/ George Sitaris
George Sitaris, Esq.
33 Whitehall Street, 16th Floor
New York, New York 10004