

SILVERMANACAMPORA LLP
Counsel to Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq.,
Chapter 7 Trustee
100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300
Jericho, New York 11753
(516) 479-6300
Jay S. Hellman, Esq.
David J. Mahoney, Esq.

Hearing Date: November 26, 2013
Time: 9:30 a.m.

Objections Due: November 19, 2013
Time: 5:00 p.m.

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X
In re:

AGAPE WORLD, INC.,
AGAPE MERCHANT ADVANCE LLC,
AGAPE COMMUNITY LLC, AGAPE
CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT LLC,
AGAPE WORLD BRIDGES LLC, AND
114 PARKWAY DRIVE SOUTH LLC,

Debtors.

-----X
KENNETH P. SILVERMAN, ESQ., as
Chapter 7 Trustee of Agape World, Inc., *et al.*,

Plaintiff,

-against-

DANIEL BLAIR,

Defendant.
-----X

Chapter 7
Case No.: 09-70660 (DTE)
Substantively Consolidated

Adv. Pro. No.: 11-08204 (REG)

**NOTICE OF HEARING OF PROPOSED ORDER
UNDER BANKRUPTCY RULE 9019(a) APPROVING A
STIPULATION SETTling THE TRUSTEE'S CLAIMS AGAINST DANIEL BLAIR**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that upon the application (the "Motion") of Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., the chapter 7 trustee (the "Trustee") for the substantively consolidated estate of Agape World, Inc., *et al.*, by his counsel, SilvermanAcampora LLP, the Trustee will move before the Honorable Robert E. Grossman, United States Bankruptcy Judge, at the United States Bankruptcy Court, Courtroom 860, Eastern District of New York at Central Islip, 290 Federal Plaza, Central Islip, New York 11722 on **November 26, 2013 at 9:30 a.m.**, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, for entry of an order granting the Trustee's Motion for an

Order Approving the Stipulation of Settlement. A copy of the proposed Order is annexed hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE, that objections, if any, to the relief requested in the Motion or the proposed Order must be (i) made in writing; (ii) electronically filed with the Bankruptcy Court; (iii) delivered to Chambers of the Honorable Robert E. Grossman, United States Bankruptcy Judge, United States Bankruptcy Court, Eastern District of New York, Alfonse M. D'Amato Federal Courthouse, 290 Federal Plaza, Central Islip, New York 11722; (iv) mailed to SilvermanAcampora LLP, 100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300, Jericho, New York 11753, Attn: David J. Mahoney, Esq.; and (v) mailed to the Office of the United States Trustee, 560 Federal Plaza, Central Islip, New York 11722, no later than **5:00 p.m. on November 19, 2013**.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE, that the Hearing may be adjourned without further notice other than by announcement of such adjournment in open Court.

Dated: Jericho, New York
September 20, 2013

SILVERMANACAMPORA LLP
Counsel to Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., the
Chapter 7 Trustee

By: s/ David J. Mahoney
David J. Mahoney
Member of the Firm
100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300
Jericho, New York 11753
(516) 479-6300

SILVERMANACAMPORA LLP
Counsel to Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq.,
Chapter 7 Trustee
100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300
Jericho, New York 11753
(516) 479-6300
Jay S. Hellman, Esq.
David J. Mahoney, Esq.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

In re:

Chapter 7
Case No.: 09-70660 (DTE)
Substantively Consolidated

AGAPE WORLD, INC.,
AGAPE MERCHANT ADVANCE LLC,
AGAPE COMMUNITY LLC, AGAPE
CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT LLC,
AGAPE WORLD BRIDGES LLC, AND
114 PARKWAY DRIVE SOUTH LLC,

Debtors.

-----X

KENNETH P. SILVERMAN, ESQ., as
Chapter 7 Trustee of Agape World, Inc., *et al.*,

Plaintiff,

Adv. Pro. No.: 11-08204 (REG)

-against-

DANIEL BLAIR,

Defendant.

-----X

**TRUSTEE'S APPLICATION UNDER BANKRUPTCY
RULE 9019(a) SEEKING THE ENTRY OF AN ORDER APPROVING A
STIPULATION SETTLING THE TRUSTEE'S CLAIMS AGAINST DANIEL BLAIR**

Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., the chapter 7 trustee (the "Trustee") of the substantively consolidated estate of Agape World, Inc., *et al.*, by his attorneys SilvermanAcampora LLP, respectfully submits this application (the "Application") under 11 U.S.C. §105 and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019(a) for entry of an Order (annexed hereto as **Exhibit A**) approving the proposed settlement of the Trustee's claims under 11 U.S.C. §§105, 502, 544, 548, 550 and 551, New York Debtor and Creditor Law §§273, 274, 275, 276 and 276-a, and New York common law against Daniel Blair, as memorialized in the Stipulation Settling the Trustee's

Claims Against Daniel Blair (the “Stipulation”), which has been annexed hereto as **Exhibit B**. All parties are encouraged to review the annexed Stipulation in its entirety for the specific terms of the proposed settlement.

Background

1. On February 5, 2009 (the “Petition Date”), an involuntary chapter 7 petition was filed by four petitioning creditors (“the Petitioning Creditors”) pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §303(b), against Agape World, Inc. (“AWI”), in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of New York.

2. On February 9, 2008, the Petitioning Creditors filed a motion to appoint an interim chapter 7 trustee under 11 U.S.C. §303(g).

3. On February 12, 2009, the Court granted the Petitioning Creditors’ motion and entered an order directing the United States Trustee’s Office to immediately appoint an interim chapter 7 trustee in the AWI case.

4. On February 12, 2009, Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., was appointed the interim trustee in the AWI case, and has since duly qualified and is now the permanent Trustee in the Debtors’ substantively consolidated case.

5. On March 4, 2009, the Court issued an Order for relief in the AWI chapter 7 case.

6. On April 14, 2009, the Court issued an Order substantively consolidating AWI, Agape Merchant Advance LLC, Agape Community LLC, Agape Construction Management, LLC, Agape World Bridges LLC, and 114 Parkway Drive South LLC (collectively, “Agape” or the “Debtors”).

7. Thereafter, pursuant to an Order of this Court dated April 21, 2009 (Dkt. No. 106), the Trustee retained Navigant Consulting Inc. (“Navigant”) to, among other things, conduct a forensic analysis of Agape’s books and records.

8. Based upon Navigant’s analysis, the Trustee determined that Daniel Blair (“Defendant”) received transfers exceeding his deposits into Agape totaling Eighty-Seven

Thousand Four Hundred and 00/100 (\$97,400.00) Dollars (the "Net Transfers") that were made by the Debtors to the Defendant and are avoidable pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§544, 548, 550 and 551, New York Debtor and Creditor Law §§273, 274, 275, 276 and 276-a, and New York common law.

9. On January 24, 2011, the Trustee commenced an adversary proceeding against the Defendant (the "Adversary Proceeding") by the filing and service of a summons and complaint under Adv. Pro. No. 11-08204 seeking to, *inter alia*, avoid the Net Transfers pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§544, 548, 550 and 551, New York Debtor and Creditor Law §§273, 274, 275, 276 and 276-a, and New York common law and to recover the Net Transfers from Defendant pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §550(a) (the "Trustee's Claims").

10. Pursuant to this Court's Order, the parties attended a mediation session on September 16, 2013 at the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of New York.

11. Defendant has offered to remit the total sum of One Thousand and 00/100 (\$1,000.00) Dollars (the "Settlement Sum") to the Trustee in full and final settlement of the Trustee's Claims.

12. For all of the reasons set forth herein, the Trustee submits that accepting Defendant's offer of the Settlement Sum in full and final settlement of the Trustee's Claims is a reasonable exercise of the Trustee's business judgment and is in the best interests of the Debtors' estate.

Settlement

13. The Trustee has determined that settling this matter for the Settlement Sum is the most economical and efficient way to realize a meaningful and beneficial recovery for the benefit of creditors without the need to incur legal fees and risks inherent with the prosecution of the Trustee's Claims and any resulting judgment efforts.

14. After consultation with his retained professionals and in the exercise of his business judgment, the Trustee has determined that the Settlement Sum outweighs the

potential net recovery to the estate if the Trustee elected to prosecute the Trustee's Claims through trial and enforce a resulting judgment against the Defendant.

15. In light of the foregoing and mindful of the costs and risks of litigating the Trustee's Claims, the Trustee has agreed to accept the Settlement Sum.

Basis for Relief Requested

16. Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019(a), which governs the approval of compromises and settlement, provides:

(a) **Compromise.** On motion by the trustee and after notice and hearing, the court may approve a compromise or settlement. Notice shall be given to creditors, the United States trustee, the debtor, and indenture trustees as provided in Rule 2002 and to any other entity as the court may direct.

17. In approving a compromise and settlement, the Bankruptcy Court is required to make an "informed and independent judgment" as to whether the compromise and settlement is fair and equitable based on an:

[e]ducated estimate of the complexity, expense and likely duration of [any] litigation, the possible difficulties of collecting on any judgment which might be obtained, and all other factors relevant to a full and fair assessment of the wisdom of the proposed compromise. Basic to this process, in every instance, of course, is the need to compare the terms of the compromise with the likely rewards of litigation.

Protective Committee for Independent Stockholders of TMT Trailer Ferry, Inc. v. Anderson, 390 U.S. 414, 424-425, *reh'g denied*, 391 U.S. 909 (1968). See *American Can Co. v. Herpel (In re Jackson Brewing Co.)*, 624 F.2d 605, 607 (5th Cir. 1980); *Chopin Assoc. v. Smith (In re Holywell Corp.)*, 93 B.R. 291, 294 (Bankr. S.D. Fla. 1988); *In re Arrow Air, Inc.*, 85 B.R. 886, 891 (Bankr. S.D. Fla. 1988); *In re Bell & Beckwith*, 77 B.R. 628, 611 (Bankr. N.D. Ohio), *aff'd*, 87 B.R. 472 (N.D. Ohio 1987); *Cf. Magill v. Springfield Marine Bank (In re Heissinger Resources Ltd.)*, 67 B.R. 378, 383 (C.D. Ill. 1986) ("the law favors compromise").

18. In making its determination, the Court should consider whether the proposed compromise is in the "best interest of the estate". *Depo v. Chase Lincoln First Bank, N.A. (In re Depo)*, 77 B.R. 381, 383 (N.D.N.Y. 1987), *aff'd*, 863 F.2d 45 (2d Cir. 1988). As stated in *Arrow*

Air, the "approval of [a] proposed compromise and settlement is a matter of this Court's sound discretion." *Arrow Air*, 85 B.R. at 891. In passing upon a proposed settlement, "the bankruptcy court does not substitute its judgment for that of the Trustee [or debtor in possession]." *Depo*, 77 B.R. at 384 (citations omitted). The bankruptcy court is not required "to decide the numerous questions of law and fact raised by [objectors].... [R]ather [the Court should] canvass the issues and see whether the settlement falls below the lowest point in the range of reasonableness." *Cosoff v. Rodman (In re W.T. Grant Co.)*, 699 F.2d 599, 608 (2d Cir.), *cert denied*, 464 U.S. 822 (1983) (quoting *Newman v. Stein*, 464 F.2d 689, 693 (2d Cir.), *cert denied*, 409 U.S. 1039 (1972)). See *Holywell* 93 B.R. at 294. ("In order to exercise this discretion properly, the Court must consider all the relevant facts and evaluate whether the compromise suggested falls below the 'lowest point in the range of reasonableness'") (quoting *In re Teltronics Services, Inc.*, 762 F.2d 185, 189 (2d Cir. 1985)). In passing upon the reasonableness of a proposed compromise, the Court "may give weight to the opinions of the Trustee [or debtor in possession], the parties and their counsel." *Bell & Beckwith*, 77 B.R. at 512.

19. The factors to be considered by the Court in determining whether to approve a compromise or settlement include (a) probability of success in the litigation, with due consideration for the uncertainty in fact and law, (b) the complexity and likely duration of the litigation and any attendant expense, inconvenience and delay, and (c) all other factors bearing on the wisdom of the compromise. *Arrow Air*, 85 B.R. at 891 (citing *TMT Trailer Ferry*, 390 U.S. at 424-25). See *Jackson Brewing Co.*, 624 F.2d at 507; *Holywell Corp.*, 93 B.R. at 294-95 (citations omitted).

20. While at court ordered mediation, Defendant provided certified financial information demonstrating an inability of the Defendant to remit to the Trustee payment in an amount greater than the Settlement Sum. Thus, the mediator drafted a mediator's report (the "Mediator's Report") recommending the Trustee accept the Settlement Sum. Based upon the Mediator's Report and Defendant's inability to pay a sum to the Trustee greater than the

Settlement Sum, the Trustee believes that accepting the Settlement Sum is in the best interest of the Debtor's estate.

21. The Trustee submits that considering the costs and uncertainties associated with trial and judgment enforcement, and the amount of the Trustee's demand, it is unlikely that further litigation would result in a "net benefit" to the Debtors' estate in excess of the Settlement Sum. In the sound business judgment of the Trustee, the proposed settlement is both appropriate and warranted. The Trustee believes that the settlement is fair and equitable and in the best interest of the estate.

Notice

22. The Trustee has served the Notice of Hearing, proposed Order, and Motion in support with Exhibit upon: (i) the Office of the United States Trustee; (ii) Nicholas Cosmo, former principal of the Debtors, (iii) Defendant, (iv) the appropriate taxing authorities, and (v) all parties having filed a Notice of Appearance in this case, and copies have been posted on the Trustee's website located at www.agapeworldbankruptcy.com. The Trustee respectfully submits that the proposed service complies with this Court's Order Establishing Noticing Procedures entered on July 8, 2009 and is otherwise sufficient.

23. No previous application for the relief requested herein has been made to this or any other Court.

WHEREFORE, the Trustee respectfully requests that this Court grant this Application authorizing and approving the Stipulation and grant such other further and different relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: Jericho, New York
September 20, 2013

SILVERMANACAMPORA LLP
Attorneys for Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq.,
the chapter 7 trustee

By: s/ David J. Mahoney
David J. Mahoney
Member of the Firm
100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300
Jericho, New York 11753
(516) 479-6300

EXHIBIT A

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
In re:

AGAPE WORLD, INC.,
AGAPE MERCHANT ADVANCE LLC,
AGAPE COMMUNITY LLC, AGAPE
CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT LLC,
AGAPE WORLD BRIDGES LLC, AND
114 PARKWAY DRIVE SOUTH LLC,

Debtors.

-----X
KENNETH P. SILVERMAN, ESQ., as
Chapter 7 Trustee of Agape World, Inc., *et al.*,

Plaintiff,

-against-

DANIEL BLAIR,

Defendant.
-----X

Chapter 7
Case No.: 09-70660 (DTE)
Substantively Consolidated

Adv. Pro. No.: 11-08204 (REG)

**ORDER UNDER BANKRUPTCY RULE 9019(a) APPROVING A
STIPULATION SETTLING THE TRUSTEE'S CLAIMS AGAINST DANIEL BLAIR**

Upon the Notice of Hearing (the "Notice"), dated September 20, 2013, and related application (collectively, the "Application") of Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., the chapter 7 trustee (the "Trustee") of the substantively consolidated estate of Agape World, Inc., *et al.*, by his counsel, SilvermanAcampora LLP, seeking the entry of an Order approving a Stipulation (the "Stipulation") Settling the Trustee's Claims Against Daniel Blair (the "Defendant"); and upon the Affidavit of Service filed with the Court; and no objections to the Application or the proposed Order having been filed; and the Court having found that the settlement of the Trustee's claims pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§105, 502, 544, 548, 550 and 551, New York Debtor and Creditor Law §§273, 274, 275, 276 and 276-a, and New York common law against Defendant to be fair, reasonable and in the best interest of the Debtors' estate; and sufficient cause having been shown therefor; and after due deliberation and consideration having been had; and it appearing

that sufficient notice of the Application and proposed Order has been given; and it appearing that good and sufficient cause exists for granting the Application and proposed Order; and no additional notice being necessary or required.

NOW, THEREFORE, upon the Notice and Application of the Trustee and pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019(a) and other applicable law, it is hereby

ORDERED, that service of the Notice and Application and proposed Order, having been provided to: (i) the Office of the United States Trustee; (ii) Nicholas Cosmo, former principal of the Debtors, (iii) Defendant, (iv) the appropriate taxing authorities, and (v) all parties having filed a Notice of Appearance in this case, and copies have been posted on the Trustee's website located at www.agapeworldbankruptcy.com complies with this Court's Order Establishing Noticing Procedures entered on July 8, 2009 and is otherwise sufficient; and it is further

ORDERED, that the Application is granted, and it is further

ORDERED, that the settlement of the Trustee's Claims against Defendant as memorialized in the Stipulation is approved; and it is further

ORDERED, that the Trustee be, and hereby is authorized and directed to take such steps, execute such documents and expend such funds as may be reasonably necessary to effectuate and implement the terms and conditions of this Order.

SO ORDERED:

EXHIBIT B

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
In re:

AGAPE WORLD, INC.,
AGAPE MERCHANT ADVANCE LLC,
AGAPE COMMUNITY LLC, AGAPE
CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT LLC,
AGAPE WORLD BRIDGES LLC, AND
114 PARKWAY DRIVE SOUTH LLC,

Debtors.

-----X
KENNETH P. SILVERMAN, ESQ., as
Chapter 7 Trustee of Agape World, Inc., *et al.*,

Plaintiff,

-against-

DANIEL BLAIR,

Defendant.
-----X

Chapter 7
Case No.: 09-70660 (DTE)
Substantively Consolidated

Adv. Pro. No.: 11-08204 (REG)

**STIPULATION AND ORDER (I) SETTLING THE TRUSTEE'S CLAIMS; AND
(II) DIRECTING THE CLERK OF THE COURT TO CLOSE THE ADVERSARY PROCEEDING**

I. On February 5, 2009 (the "Filing Date"), an involuntary chapter 7 petition was filed by four petitioning creditors (the "Petitioning Creditors") pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §303(b), against Agape World, Inc. ("AWI"), in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of New York.

II. On February 9, 2009, the Petitioning Creditors filed a motion to appoint an interim chapter 7 trustee under 11 U.S.C. §303(g).

III. On February 12, 2009, the Court granted the Petitioning Creditors' motion and entered an order directing the United States Trustee's Office to immediately appoint an interim chapter 7 trustee in the AWI case.

IV. On February 12, 2009, Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., was appointed the interim trustee in the AWI case, and has since duly qualified and is now the permanent Trustee in the Debtors' substantively consolidated case.

V. On March 4, 2009, the Court issued an Order for relief in the AWI chapter 7 case.

VI. On April 14, 2009, the Court issued an Order substantively consolidating AWI, Agape Merchant Advance LLC, Agape Community LLC, Agape Construction Management, LLC, Agape World Bridges LLC, and 114 Parkway Drive South LLC (collectively, the "Debtors").

VII. The Trustee and his counsel have investigated the Debtor's financial affairs, including a detailed analysis of the extent and validity of certain transfers made to Daniel Blair ("Defendant").

VIII. Thereafter, the Trustee commenced this adversary proceeding against Defendant by filing a complaint asserting that certain transfers totaling \$87,400.00 (the "Net Transfers") made to Defendant are avoidable and recoverable pursuant to Bankruptcy Code §§105, 541, 544, 548, 550 and New York Debtor and Creditor Law §§ 273, 274, 275, 276 ("The Trustee's Claims").

IX. ~~Thereafter, Defendant retained counsel to defend against the Trustee's Claims.~~

X. Pursuant to this Court's Order, the parties attended a mediation session on September 16, 2013 at the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of New York.

XI. In the spirit of compromise and without any admission of liability, Defendant has offered to pay \$1,000.00 (the "Settlement Sum") to the Trustee in full and final settlement of the Trustee's Claims.

XII. Based upon the his review of all documentation related to the Net Transfers and his investigation of all attendant factors, the Trustee has, in his business judgment, agreed to settle the Trustee's Claims upon the following terms and conditions, which the Trustee believes are fair and reasonable, especially in light of the costs and uncertainty associated with litigation.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and among the parties hereto, that the Trustee's Claims are resolved upon the terms and conditions set forth herein as follows:

Defendant's Obligation to Pay the Settlement Sum

1. Upon this stipulation (the "Stipulation") being "So Ordered" by the Court (the "Approval Order"), this adversary proceeding will be closed [without prejudice to the Trustee's right to re-open if Defendant defaults in making any installment payment.]

2. The Trustee has authorized Defendant to pay the Settlement Sum in (5) installments as set forth as follows:

Payment Number	Due Date	Amount Due
1	10/31/13	\$200.00
2	12/31/13	\$200.00
3	2/28/14	\$200.00
4	4/30/14	\$200.00
5	6/30/14	\$200.00
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		

3. All installments shall be payable to "Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., as Chapter 7 Trustee."

4. All installments shall be mailed to the Trustee's counsel at:

SilvermanAcampora LLP
100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300
Jericho, New York, 11753
Attention: Christopher Rubino, Esq.

~~5. As additional consideration for settling the Trustee's Claims, Defendant agrees to waive Claim No. _____. A copy of the Claim Waiver is attached as Exhibit A.~~

6. Nothing contained herein shall preclude or penalize Defendant from pre-paying any installment or portion of any installment at any time.

7. If Defendant fails to timely pay any installment of the Settlement Sum in accordance with paragraph 2, above, or if Defendant's payment is dishonored for any reason whatsoever and Defendant does not cure such default within five business days after written notice sent by Federal Express or other reputable overnight courier to Defendant and Defendant's counsel at the addresses set forth herein, then the Trustee is entitled to move before the Bankruptcy Court, without further notice and upon the Trustee's affidavit attesting to the default (a) for the entry of a judgment against Defendant for \$11,150.00, plus costs, (less any sums previously paid as provided herein), and (b) for any further relief necessary to enforce his rights under this Stipulation.

8. Written notice of default shall be sent by Federal Express or other reputable overnight courier to:

Defendant at:

1371 Linden Boulevard

Apt. 14B

Brooklyn, New York 11212

Defendant's attorney at: _____

9. Any portion of the Settlement Sum received prior to the Approval Order's entry shall be held in the Trustee's segregated "Earnest Money" account until the Approval Order becomes final and non-appealable under 28 U.S.C. §158(c)(2) and Bankruptcy Rule 8002.

10. Upon the Approval Order becoming final and non-appealable, (which is the 14th day after the Approval Order's entry), the Trustee's counsel will transfer all portions of the Settlement Sum being held in the segregated "Earnest Money" account to the Trustee's estate account. If the Court declines to enter the Approval Order, the Trustee shall return any portion of the Settlement Sum being held in the segregated "Earnest Money" Account, without interest, to Defendant's counsel without undue delay.

11. If the Court declines to enter the Approval Order, this Stipulation will be null and void and nothing contained herein can be used as evidence by either party.

Releases

12. Upon the Approval Order becoming final and non-appealable, and the Trustee's receipt and clearance of each installment of the Settlement Sum, the Trustee and the estate release and forever discharge Defendant from any and all claims, claims for relief, demands, costs, expenses, damages, liabilities, and obligations of any nature arising out of or relating to the Trustee's Claims. For purposes of clarification, nothing contained herein shall be construed to be a release by the Trustee of any claims that the Trustee may have or subsequently discover under 11 U.S.C. §550(a)(2) and the Trustee is specifically not releasing any claims under 11 U.S.C. §550(a)(2).

13. Upon the Approval Order becoming final and non-appealable, Defendant releases, discharges, and waives any and all claims against the Debtor's estate, the Trustee and the Trustee's agents, attorneys, assigns and successors-in-interest from any and all claims,

proofs of claim, claims for relief, demands, costs, expenses, damages, liabilities, and obligations of any nature.

No Admission

14. It is understood and agreed that this Stipulation is entered into to avoid costly and protracted litigation. Neither the execution of this Stipulation, nor the payment of the Settlement Sum shall be construed as an admission on Defendant's part. For clarification, this paragraph is not intended and shall not be deemed to affect Defendant's obligation to make timely payment of the Settlement Sum or adversely affect the Trustee's rights and remedies if Defendant fails to make timely payment of the Settlement Sum.

Miscellaneous

15. This Stipulation may be executed in one or more counterparts, with each part being deemed a part of the original document, and facsimile or other electronic signatures shall be deemed an original signature.

16. The person executing this Stipulation on behalf of each respective party warrants and represents that she or he is authorized and empowered to execute and deliver this Stipulation on behalf of such party.

17. This Stipulation may not be altered, modified, or changed unless in writing, signed by the parties or their counsel.

18. The Bankruptcy Court shall retain exclusive jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Stipulation, including but not limited to its enforcement and the implementation and interpretation of its terms and conditions.

19. This Stipulation shall be governed by the laws of the State of New York, except with respect to matters as to which federal law is applicable without regard to any conflicts of law principles.

20. The Trustee and Defendant are each responsible for their own costs and attorneys' fees incurred in connection with this proceeding.

21. Upon the entry of this Stipulation as an Approval Order in this proceeding, the Clerk of the Court is directed to close this adversary proceeding.

[one signature page to follow]

Dated: Central Islip, New York
September 16, 2013

SILVERMANACAMPORA LLP
Attorneys for Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq.,
The Chapter 7 Trustee

By: s/ David J. Mahoney
David J. Mahoney, Esq.
A Member of Counsel to the Firm
100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300
Jericho, New York 11753
(516) 479-6300

~~Dated: Central Islip, New York
September __, 2013~~ Attorney for Defendant

By: _____
(Defendant's attorney's signature)

Print Attorney's Name:
Print Firm Name:
Print Firm's Address:

Dated: Central Islip, New York
September __, 2013

Defendant:

s/ Daniel Blair
(defendant's signature)
Print Defendant's Name: Daniel Blair
Print Defendant's Address: 1371 Linden Boulevard
Apt. 14B
Brooklyn, New York 11212

SO ORDERED: