

SILVERMANACAMPORA LLP  
Counsel to Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq.,  
Chapter 7 Trustee  
100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300  
Jericho, New York 11753  
(516) 479-6300  
David J. Mahoney, Esq.

Presentment Date: October 18, 2012  
Time: 12:00 p.m.

Objections Due: October 15, 2012  
Time: 4:00 p.m.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In re:

AGAPE WORLD, INC.,  
AGAPE MERCHANT ADVANCE LLC,  
AGAPE COMMUNITY LLC, AGAPE  
CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT LLC,  
AGAPE WORLD BRIDGES LLC, AND  
114 PARKWAY DRIVE SOUTH LLC,

Debtors.

Chapter 7  
Case No.: 09-70660 (DTE)  
Substantively Consolidated

-----X  
KENNETH P. SILVERMAN, ESQ., as  
Chapter 7 Trustee of Agape World, Inc., *et al.*,

Plaintiff,

Adv. Pro. No.: 11-08550 (AST)

-against-

JOSEPH PARENTI,

Defendant.  
-----X

**NOTICE OF PRESENTMENT OF PROPOSED  
ORDER UNDER BANKRUPTCY RULE 9019(a) APPROVING A  
STIPULATION SETTLING THE TRUSTEE'S CLAIMS AGAINST JOSEPH PARENTI**

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE**, that upon the application (the "Motion") of Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., the chapter 7 trustee (the "Trustee") of the substantively consolidated estate of Agape World, Inc., *et al.*, by his counsel SilvermanAcampora LLP, the Trustee will present a proposed Order before the Honorable Alan S. Trust, United States Bankruptcy Judge, United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of New York, located at 290 Federal Plaza, Room 960, Central Islip, New York on **October 18, 2012 at 12:00 p.m.** (the "Presentment"): (i) seeking approval of the Stipulation Settling the Trustee's Claims against Joseph Parenti, and (ii) granting related relief. A copy of the proposed Order is annexed hereto.

**PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE**, that objections, if any, to the relief requested in the Motion or the proposed Order must be: (i) electronically filed with the Bankruptcy Court; (ii) delivered to the Chambers of the Honorable Alan S. Trust; and (iii) served upon SilvermanAcampora LLP, to be received no later than **October 15, 2012 at 4:00 p.m.**, at 100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300, Jericho, New York 11753; Attn: David J. Mahoney, Esq. Unless such objections are filed, the Order may be signed without a hearing.

**PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE**, that if an objection is timely filed to the relief requested, or if the Court determines that a hearing is appropriate, the Court will schedule a hearing, upon such additional notice as the Court may direct.

Dated: Jericho, New York  
September 21, 2012

**SilvermanAcampora LLP**  
Counsel to Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq.,  
The Chapter 7 Trustee

By: s/ David J. Mahoney  
David J. Mahoney  
Member of the Firm  
100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300  
Jericho, New York 11753  
(516) 479-6300

SILVERMANACAMPORA LLP  
Counsel to Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq.,  
Chapter 7 Trustee  
100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300  
Jericho, New York 11753  
(516) 479-6300  
Jay S. Hellman, Esq.  
David J. Mahoney, Esq.

Presentment Date: October 18, 2012  
Time: 12:00 p.m.

Objections Due: October 15, 2012  
Time: 4:00 p.m.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In re:

Chapter 7  
Case No.: 09-70660 (DTE)  
Substantively Consolidated

AGAPE WORLD, INC.,  
AGAPE MERCHANT ADVANCE LLC,  
AGAPE COMMUNITY LLC, AGAPE  
CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT LLC,  
AGAPE WORLD BRIDGES LLC, AND  
114 PARKWAY DRIVE SOUTH LLC,

Debtors.

-----X  
KENNETH P. SILVERMAN, ESQ., as  
Chapter 7 Trustee of Agape World, Inc., *et al.*,

Plaintiff,

Adv. Pro. No.: 11-08550 (AST)

-against-

JOSEPH PARENTI,

Defendant.  
-----X

**TRUSTEE'S APPLICATION UNDER BANKRUPTCY RULE  
9019(a) SEEKING THE ENTRY OF AN ORDER APPROVING A  
STIPULATION SETTLING THE TRUSTEE'S CLAIMS AGAINST JOSEPH PARENTI**

Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., the chapter 7 trustee (the "Trustee") of the substantively consolidated estate of Agape World, Inc., *et al.*, by his attorneys SilvermanAcampora LLP, respectfully submits this application (the "Application") under 11 U.S.C. §105 and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019 for entry of an Order (annexed hereto as **Exhibit A**) approving the proposed settlement of the Trustee's claims under 11 U.S.C. §§544, 548, 550 and 551, New York Debtor and Creditor Law §§273, 274, 275, 276 and 276-a, and New York common law against Joseph Parenti as memorialized in the Stipulation Settling the Trustee's Claims Against

Joseph Parenti (the "Stipulation"), which has been annexed hereto as **Exhibit B**. All parties are encouraged to review the annexed Stipulation in its entirety for the specific terms of the proposed settlement.

### **Background**

1. On February 5, 2009 (the "Petition Date"), an involuntary chapter 7 petition was filed by four petitioning creditors ("the Petitioning Creditors") pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §303(b), against Agape World, Inc. ("AWI"), in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of New York.

2. On February 9, 2008, the Petitioning Creditors filed a motion to appoint an interim chapter 7 trustee under 11 U.S.C. §303(g).

3. On February 12, 2009, the Court granted the Petitioning Creditors' motion and entered an order directing the United States Trustee's Office to immediately appoint an interim chapter 7 trustee in the AWI case.

4. On February 12, 2009, Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., was appointed the interim trustee in the AWI case, and has since duly qualified and is now the permanent Trustee in the Debtors' substantively consolidated case.

5. On March 4, 2009, the Court issued an Order for relief in the AWI chapter 7 case.

6. On April 14, 2009, the Court issued an Order substantively consolidating AWI, Agape Merchant Advance LLC, Agape Community LLC, Agape Construction Management, LLC, Agape World Bridges LLC, and 114 Parkway Drive South LLC (collectively, "Agape" or the "Debtors").

7. Thereafter, pursuant to an Order of this Court dated April 21, 2009 (Dkt. No. 106), the Trustee retained Navigant Consulting Inc. ("Navigant") to, among other things, conduct a forensic analysis of Agape's books and records.

8. Based upon Navigant's analysis, the Trustee determined that Joseph Parenti ("Defendant") received certain transfers totaling Sixty-Nine Thousand Four Hundred Forty-Two

and 19/100 (\$69,442.19) Dollars (the "Net Transfers") made by the Debtors to the Defendant that are avoidable pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§544, 548, 550 and 551, New York Debtor and Creditor Law §§273, 274, 275, 276 and 276-a, and New York common law.

9. On February 8, 2011, the Trustee commenced an adversary proceeding against the Defendant (the "Adversary Proceeding") by the filing and service of a summons and complaint under Adv. Pro. No. 11-08550 seeking to, *inter alia*, avoid the Net Transfers pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§544, 548, 550 and 551, New York Debtor and Creditor Law §§273, 274, 275, 276 and 276-a, and New York common law and to recover the Net Transfers from Defendant pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §550(a) (the "Trustee's Claims").

10. The parties engaged in informal discovery related to the Trustee's Claims and the defenses asserted by Defendant whereby Defendant produced documentation that reduced the Trustee's Claims to no more than Thirteen Thousand Nine Hundred Fifty-Two and 19/100 (\$13,952.19) Dollars. Further, Defendant raised triable issues of fact regarding additional deposits Defendant may have made to the Debtors that, if accepted by the trier of fact, would further reduce the net value of the Trustee's Claims to less than the Settlement Sum.

11. The Trustee and the Defendant, through his counsel, engaged in settlement discussions in an effort to consensually resolve the Adversary Proceeding. In order to avoid the costs, expenses and uncertainty of continued litigation, the parties have now agreed to resolve the Trustee's Claims upon the terms and conditions contained in the Stipulation.

12. Defendant has offered to remit the sum of Four Thousand Five Hundred and 00/100 (\$4,500.00) Dollars (the "Settlement Sum") to the Trustee.

13. For all of the reasons set forth herein, the Trustee submits that accepting Defendant's offer to remit the Settlement Sum in full and final settlement of the Trustee's Claims is a reasonable exercise of the Trustee's business judgment and is in the best interests of the Debtors' estate.

### **Settlement**

14. The Trustee has determined that settling this matter for the Settlement Sum is the most economical and efficient way to realize a meaningful and beneficial recovery for the benefit of creditors without the need to incur legal fees and risks inherent with the prosecution of the Trustee's Claims and any resulting judgment efforts.

15. After consultation with his retained professionals and in the exercise of his business judgment, the Trustee has determined that the voluntary return of the Settlement Sum outweighs the potential net recovery to the estate if the Trustee elected to prosecute the Trustee's Claims through trial and enforce a resulting judgment against the Defendant.

16. In light of the foregoing and mindful of the costs and risks of litigating the Trustee's Claims, the Trustee has agreed to accept the Settlement Sum.

### **Basis for Relief Requested**

17. Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019(a), which governs the approval of compromises and settlement, provides:

(a) Compromise. On motion by the trustee and after notice and hearing, the court may approve a compromise or settlement. Notice shall be given to creditors, the United States trustee, the debtor, and indenture trustees as provided in Rule 2002 and to any other entity as the court may direct.

18. In approving a compromise and settlement, the Bankruptcy Court is required to make an "informed and independent judgment" as to whether the compromise and settlement is fair and equitable based on an:

[e]ducated estimate of the complexity, expense and likely duration of [any] litigation, the possible difficulties of collecting on any judgment which might be obtained, and all other factors relevant to a full and fair assessment of the wisdom of the proposed compromise. Basic to this process, in every instance, of course, is the need to compare the terms of the compromise with the likely rewards of litigation.

*Protective Committee for Independent Stockholders of TMT Trailer Ferry, Inc. v. Anderson*, 390 U.S. 414, 424-425, *reh'g denied*, 391 U.S. 909 (1968). See *American Can Co. v. Herpel (In re*

*Jackson Brewing Co.*), 624 F.2d 605, 607 (5th Cir. 1980); *Chopin Assoc. v. Smith (In re Holywell Corp.)*, 93 B.R. 291, 294 (Bankr. S.D. Fla. 1988); *In re Arrow Air, Inc.*, 85 B.R. 886, 891 (Bankr. S.D. Fla. 1988); *In re Bell & Beckwith*, 77 B.R. 628, 611 (Bankr. N.D. Ohio), *aff'd*, 87 B.R. 472 (N.D. Ohio 1987); *Cf. Magill v. Springfield Marine Bank (In re Heissinger Resources Ltd.)*, 67 B.R. 378, 383 (C.D. Ill. 1986) ("the law favors compromise").

19. In making its determination, the Court should consider whether the proposed compromise is in the "best interest of the estate". *Depo v. Chase Lincoln First Bank, N.A. (In re Depo)*, 77 B.R. 381, 383 (N.D.N.Y. 1987), *aff'd*, 863 F.2d 45 (2d Cir. 1988). As stated in *Arrow Air*, the "approval of [a] proposed compromise and settlement is a matter of this Court's sound discretion." *Arrow Air*, 85 B.R. at 891. In passing upon a proposed settlement, "the bankruptcy court does not substitute its judgment for that of the Trustee [or debtor in possession]." *Depo*, 77 B.R. at 384 (citations omitted). The bankruptcy court is not required "to decide the numerous questions of law and fact raised by [objectors].... [R]ather [the Court should] canvass the issues and see whether the settlement falls below the lowest point in the range of reasonableness." *Cosoff v. Rodman (In re W.T. Grant Co.)*, 699 F.2d 599, 608 (2d Cir.), *cert denied*, 464 U.S. 822 (1983) (quoting *Newman v. Stein*, 464 F.2d 689, 693 (2d Cir.), *cert denied*, 409 U.S. 1039 (1972)). See *Holywell* 93 B.R. at 294. ("In order to exercise this discretion properly, the Court must consider all the relevant facts and evaluate whether the compromise suggested falls below the 'lowest point in the range of reasonableness'" (quoting *In re Teltronics Services, Inc.*, 762 F.2d 185, 189 (2d Cir. 1985)). In passing upon the reasonableness of a proposed compromise, the Court "may give weight to the opinions of the Trustee [or debtor in possession], the parties and their counsel." *Bell & Beckwith*, 77 B.R. at 512.

20. The factors to be considered by the Court in determining whether to approve a compromise or settlement include (a) probability of success in the litigation, with due consideration for the uncertainty in fact and law, (b) the complexity and likely duration of the litigation and any attendant expense, inconvenience and delay, and (c) all other factors bearing

on the wisdom of the compromise. *Arrow Air*, 85 B.R. at 891 (citing *TMT Trailer Ferry*, 390 U.S. at 424-25). See *Jackson Brewing Co.*, 624 F.2d at 507; *Holywell Corp.*, 93 B.R. at 294-95 (citations omitted).

21. By offering to voluntarily return the Settlement Sum, Defendant has offered to remit a significant portion of the Net Transfers, after deposits by Defendant, for which the Trustee believes the Defendant may be liable, in satisfaction of the Trustee's Claims without causing the Debtors' estate to incur significant fees or expenses. The Trustee submits that considering the costs and uncertainties associated with trial and judgment enforcement, and the amount of the Trustee's demand, it is unlikely that further litigation would result in a "net benefit" to the Debtors' estate in excess of the Settlement Sum. In the sound business judgment of the Trustee, the proposed settlement is both appropriate and warranted. The Trustee believes that the settlement is fair and equitable and in the best interest of the estate.

#### **Notice**

22. The Trustee has served the Notice of Hearing, proposed Order, and Motion in support with Exhibit upon: (i) the Office of the United States Trustee; (ii) Nicholas Cosmo, former principal of the Debtors, (iii) Defendant's Counsel, (iv) the appropriate taxing authorities, and (v) all parties having filed a Notice of Appearance in this case, and copies have been posted on the Trustee's website located at [www.agapeworldbankruptcy.com](http://www.agapeworldbankruptcy.com). The Trustee respectfully submits that the proposed service complies with this Court's Order Establishing Noticing Procedures entered on July 8, 2009 and is otherwise sufficient.

23. No previous application for the relief requested herein has been made to this or any other Court.



**WHEREFORE**, the Trustee respectfully requests that this Court grant this Application authorizing and approving the Stipulation and grant such other further and different relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: Jericho, New York  
September 21, 2012

**SILVERMANACAMPORA LLP**  
Attorneys for Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq.,  
the chapter 7 trustee

By: s/ David J. Mahoney  
David J. Mahoney  
Jay S. Hellman  
Members of the Firm  
100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300  
Jericho, New York 11753  
(516) 479-6300

# **EXHIBIT A**

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In re:

AGAPE WORLD, INC.,  
AGAPE MERCHANT ADVANCE LLC,  
AGAPE COMMUNITY LLC, AGAPE  
CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT LLC,  
AGAPE WORLD BRIDGES LLC, AND  
114 PARKWAY DRIVE SOUTH LLC,

Debtors.

-----X  
KENNETH P. SILVERMAN, ESQ., as  
Chapter 7 Trustee of Agape World, Inc., *et al.*,

Plaintiff,

-against-

JOSEPH PARENTI,

Defendant.  
-----X

Chapter 7  
Case No.: 09-70660 (DTE)  
Substantively Consolidated

Adv. Pro. No.: 11-08550 (AST)

**ORDER UNDER BANKRUPTCY RULE 9019(a) APPROVING A  
STIPULATION SETTLING THE TRUSTEE'S CLAIMS AGAINST JOSEPH PARENTI**

Upon the Notice of Presentment (the "Notice"), dated September 21, 2012, and related application (collectively, the "Application") of Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., the chapter 7 trustee (the "Trustee") of the substantively consolidated estate of Agape World, Inc., *et al.*, by his counsel, SilvermanAcampora LLP, seeking the entry of an Order approving a Stipulation (the "Stipulation") Settling the Trustee's Claims Against Joseph Parenti (the "Defendant"); and upon the Affidavit of Service filed with the Court; and no objections to the Application or the proposed Order having been filed; and the Court having found that the settlement of the Trustee's claims pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§544, 548, 550 and 551, New York Debtor and Creditor Law §§273, 274, 275, 276 and 276-a, and New York common law against Defendant to be fair, reasonable and in the best interest of the Debtors' estate; and sufficient cause having been shown therefor; and after due deliberation and consideration having been had; and it appearing that sufficient

notice of the Application and proposed Order has been given; and it appearing that good and sufficient cause exists for granting the Application and proposed Order; and no additional notice being necessary or required:

**NOW, THEREFORE,** upon the Notice and Application of the Trustee and pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019(a) and other applicable law, it is hereby

**ORDERED,** that service of the Notice and Application and proposed Order, having been provided to: (i) the Office of the United States Trustee; (ii) Nicholas Cosmo, former principal of the Debtors, (iii) criminal counsel to Nicholas Cosmo, (iv) the appropriate taxing authorities, and (v) all parties having filed a Notice of Appearance in this case, and copies have been posted on the Trustee's website located at [www.agapeworldbankruptcy.com](http://www.agapeworldbankruptcy.com) complies with this Court's Order Establishing Noticing Procedures entered on July 8, 2009 and is otherwise sufficient; and it is further

**ORDERED,** that the Application is granted, and it is further

**ORDERED,** that the settlement of the Trustee's Claims against Defendant as memorialized in the Stipulation is approved; and it is further

**ORDERED,** that the Trustee be, and hereby is authorized and directed to take such steps, execute such documents and expend such funds as may be reasonably necessary to effectuate and implement the terms and conditions of this Order.

# **EXHIBIT B**

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X  
In re:

AGAPE WORLD, INC.,  
AGAPE MERCHANT ADVANCE LLC,  
AGAPE COMMUNITY LLC, AGAPE  
CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT LLC,  
AGAPE WORLD BRIDGES LLC, AND  
114 PARKWAY DRIVE SOUTH LLC,

Debtors.

-----X  
KENNETH P. SILVERMAN, ESQ., as  
Chapter 7 Trustee of Agape World, Inc., *et al.*,

Plaintiff,

-against-

JOSEPH PARENTI,

Defendant.  
-----X

Chapter 7  
Case No.: 09-70660 (DTE)  
Substantively Consolidated

Adv. Pro. No.: 11-08550 (AST)

**STIPULATION AND ORDER (I) SETTLING THE TRUSTEE'S CLAIMS; AND  
(II) DIRECTING THE CLERK OF THE COURT TO CLOSE THE ADVERSARY PROCEEDING**

I. On February 5, 2009 (the "Filing Date"), an involuntary chapter 7 petition was filed by four petitioning creditors (the "Petitioning Creditors") pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §303(b), against Agape World, Inc. ("AWI"), in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of New York.

II. On February 9, 2009, the Petitioning Creditors filed a motion to appoint an interim chapter 7 trustee under 11 U.S.C. §303(g).

III. On February 12, 2009, the Court granted the Petitioning Creditors' motion and entered an order directing the United States Trustee's Office to immediately appoint an interim chapter 7 trustee in the AWI case.

IV. On February 12, 2009, Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., was appointed the interim trustee in the AWI case, and has since duly qualified and is now the permanent Trustee in the Debtors' substantively consolidated case.

V. On March 4, 2009, the Court issued an Order for relief in the AWI chapter 7 case.

VI. On April 14, 2009, the Court issued an Order substantively consolidating AWI, Agape Merchant Advance LLC, Agape Community LLC, Agape Construction Management, LLC, Agape World Bridges LLC, and 114 Parkway Drive South LLC (collectively, the "Debtors").

VII. The Trustee and his counsel have investigated the financial affairs of the Debtors, including a detailed analysis of the extent and validity of certain transfers made to Joseph Parenti ("Defendant").

VIII. On February 8, 2011, the Trustee commenced this adversary proceeding against Defendant by the filing of a complaint, wherein the Trustee asserted that certain transfers totaling Sixty-Nine Thousand Four Hundred Forty-Two And 19/100 (\$69,442.19) Dollars (the "Net Transfers") made to the Defendant are recoverable pursuant to Bankruptcy Code §§105, 541, 544, 548, 550 and New York Debtor and Creditor Law §§ 273, 274, 275, 276 (The Trustee's Claims).

IX. Thereafter, Defendant retained his undersigned counsel to defend against the Trustee's Claims.

X. The parties engaged in informal discovery related to the Trustee's Claims and the defenses asserted by Defendant.

XI. In the course of the parties informal discovery, Defendant produced documentation that reduced the Trustee's Claims to no more than \$13,952.19. Moreover, Defendant has raised triable issues of fact regarding additional deposits that he claims to have made that, if accepted by the trier of fact, would further reduce the net value of the Trustee's Claims.

XII. In the spirit of compromise and without any admission of liability, Defendant has offered to remit \$4,500.00 (the "Settlement Sum") to the Trustee in full and final settlement of the Trustee's Claims.

XIII. Based upon the his review of all documentation related to the Transfers and his investigation of all attendant factors, the Trustee has, in his business judgment, agreed to settle the Trustee's Claims upon the following terms and conditions, which the Trustee believes are fair and reasonable, especially in light of the costs and uncertainty associated with litigation.

**NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED**, by and among the parties hereto, that the Trustee's Claims be resolved upon the terms and conditions set forth herein as follows:

**Defendant's Obligation to Pay the Settlement Sum**

1. This stipulation (the "Stipulation") is subject to the approval of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of New York pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9019 (the "Approval Order").

2. Upon execution of the Stipulation, Defendant will remit the Settlement Sum to "Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., as Chapter 7 Trustee," by delivering a check to Trustee's counsel at SilvermanAcampora LLP, 100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300, Jericho, New York, 11753, Attention: David J. Mahoney, Esq.

3. Prior to the entry of the Approval Order, the Settlement Sum shall be held in the Trustee's segregated "Earnest Money" account until the Approval Order becomes final and non-appealable under 28 U.S.C. §158(c)(2) and Bankruptcy Rule 8002.

4. Upon the Approval Order becoming final and non-appealable, the fourteenth day after the date of the entry of the Approval Order, the Trustee counsel will transfer the Settlement Sum from the segregated "Earnest Money" account to the Debtors' estate account. If the Bankruptcy Court denies the entry of the Approval Order, the Trustee shall return the Settlement



Sum being held in the segregated "Earnest Money" Account to Defendant's counsel without undue delay.

5. If the Court does not enter the Approval Order, this Stipulation will be null and void and none of the terms herein shall be usable as evidence by either party and the Settlement Sum shall thereupon be returned to the Defendant.

**Releases**

6. Upon the Approval Order becoming final and non-appealable, and the Trustee's receipt and clearance of the Settlement Sum, the Trustee and the estate release and forever discharge Defendant, his affiliated entities, agents, representatives, present or former officers, attorneys, directors, assigns and successors-in-interest from any and all claims, claims for relief, demands, costs, expenses, damages, liabilities, and obligations of any nature arising out of or relating to the Trustee's Claims. For purposes of clarification, nothing contained herein shall be construed to be a release by the Trustee of any claims that the Trustee may have or subsequently discover under 11 U.S.C. §550(a)(2) and the Trustee is specifically not releasing any claims under 11 U.S.C. §550(a)(2).

7. Upon the Approval Order becoming final and non-appealable, Defendant releases, discharges and waives any and all claims against the Debtor's estate, the Trustee and the Trustee's agents, attorneys, assigns and successors-in-interest from any and all claims, proofs of claim, claims for relief, demands, costs, expenses, damages, liabilities, and obligations of any nature.

**No Admission**

8. It is understood and agreed that this Stipulation is entered into to avoid costly and protracted litigation. Neither the execution of this Stipulation, nor the payment of the Settlement Sum shall be construed as an admission on Defendant's part. For clarification, this paragraph is not intended and shall not be deemed to affect Defendant's obligation to make timely payment of the Settlement Sum or adversely affect the Trustee's rights and remedies

under paragraph 3 in the event that Defendant fails to make timely payment of the Settlement Sum.

**Miscellaneous**

9. This Stipulation may be executed in one or more counterparts, with each part being deemed a part of the original document, and facsimile or other electronic signatures shall be deemed an original signature.

10. The person executing this Stipulation on behalf of each respective party warrants and represents that she or he is authorized and empowered to execute and deliver this Stipulation on behalf of such party.

11. This Stipulation may not be altered, modified, or changed unless in writing, signed by the parties or their counsel.

12. The Bankruptcy Court shall retain exclusive jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Stipulation, including but not limited to its enforcement and the implementation and interpretation of its terms and conditions.

13. This Stipulation shall be governed by the laws of the State of New York, except with respect to matters as to which federal law is applicable without regard to any conflicts of law principles.

14. The Trustee and Defendant are each responsible for their own costs and attorneys' fees incurred in connection with this proceeding.

15. Upon the entry of the Approval Order, the Clerk of the Court is directed to close this adversary proceeding.

Dated: Jericho, New York  
September 19, 2012

**SILVERMANACAMPORA LLP**  
Attorneys for Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq.,  
The Chapter 7 Trustee

By: s/ David J. Mahoney  
David J. Mahoney  
100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300  
Jericho, New York 11753  
(516) 479-6300

Dated: Wantagh, New York  
August 13, 2012

**LAMONICA HERBST & MANISCALCO LLP**  
Attorneys for Defendant

By: s/ Joseph S. Maniscalco  
Joseph S. Maniscalco  
3305 Jerusalem Avenue  
Wantagh, New York 11793  
(516) 826-6500

Dated: West Hempstead, New York  
August 4, 2012

**JOSEPH PARENTI**  
Defendant

By: s/ Joseph Parenti  
Joseph Parenti  
38 Brixton Road South  
West Hempstead, New York 11552

**SO ORDERED:**