

SILVERMANACAMPORA LLP
Counsel to Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq.,
Chapter 7 Trustee
100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300
Jericho, New York 11753
(516) 479-6300
Jay S. Hellman, Esq.
David J. Mahoney, Esq.

Hearing Date: October 2, 2012
Time: 9:30 a.m.

Objections Due: September 25, 2012
Time: 5:00 p.m.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
In re:

AGAPE WORLD, INC.,
AGAPE MERCHANT ADVANCE LLC,
AGAPE COMMUNITY LLC, AGAPE
CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT LLC,
AGAPE WORLD BRIDGES LLC, AND
114 PARKWAY DRIVE SOUTH LLC,

Debtors.

-----X
KENNETH P. SILVERMAN, ESQ., as
Chapter 7 Trustee of Agape World, Inc., *et al.*,

Plaintiff,

-against-

GLORIA SANELLI,

Defendant.
-----X

Chapter 7
Case No.: 09-70660 (DTE)
Substantively Consolidated

Adv. Pro. No.: 11-08341 (REG)

**NOTICE OF HEARING OF PROPOSED ORDER UNDER
BANKRUPTCY RULE 9019(a) APPROVING A STIPULATION
SETTLING THE TRUSTEE'S CLAIMS AGAINST GLORIA SANELLI**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that upon the application (the "Application") of Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., the chapter 7 trustee (the "Trustee") of the substantively consolidated estate of Agape World, Inc., *et al.*, by his counsel, SilvermanAcampora LLP, the Trustee will move before the Honorable Robert E. Grossman, United States Bankruptcy Judge, United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of New York, located at 290 Federal Plaza, Room 860, Central Islip, New York on **October 2, 2012 at 9:30 a.m.** (the "Hearing"): (i) seeking approval of the

Amended Stipulation Settling the Trustee's Claims Against Gloria Sanelli, and (ii) granting related relief. A copy of the proposed Order is annexed hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE, that objections, if any, to the relief requested in the Application or the proposed Order must be: (i) electronically filed with the Bankruptcy Court; (ii) delivered to Chambers of the Honorable Robert E. Grossman; and (iii) served upon SilvermanAcampora LLP, to be received no later than **5:00 p.m. on September 25, 2012**, at 100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300, Jericho, New York 11753, Attn: David J. Mahoney, Esq.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE, that the Hearing may be adjourned from time to time without further notice other than the announcement of such adjournment in open Court.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE, that you need not appear at the Hearing if you do not object to the relief requested in the Application.

Dated: Jericho, New York
September 7, 2012

SILVERMANACAMPORA LLP
Counsel to Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., the
Chapter 7 Trustee

By: s/ David J. Mahoney
Jay S. Hellman
David J. Mahoney
Members of the Firm
100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300
Jericho, New York 11753
(516) 479-6300

SILVERMANACAMPORA LLP
Counsel to Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq.,
Chapter 7 Trustee
100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300
Jericho, New York 11753
(516) 479-6300
Jay S. Hellman, Esq.
David J. Mahoney, Esq.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

In re:

Chapter 7
Case No.: 09-70660 (DTE)
Substantively Consolidated

AGAPE WORLD, INC.,
AGAPE MERCHANT ADVANCE LLC,
AGAPE COMMUNITY LLC, AGAPE
CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT LLC,
AGAPE WORLD BRIDGES LLC, AND
114 PARKWAY DRIVE SOUTH LLC,

Debtors.

-----X

KENNETH P. SILVERMAN, ESQ., as
Chapter 7 Trustee of Agape World, Inc., *et al.*,

Plaintiff,

Adv. Pro. No.: 11-08341 (REG)

-against-

GLORIA SANELLI,

Defendant.

-----X

**TRUSTEE'S APPLICATION UNDER BANKRUPTCY RULE 9019(a)
SEEKING THE ENTRY OF AN ORDER APPROVING A STIPULATION
SETTLING THE TRUSTEE'S CLAIMS AGAINST GLORIA SANELLI**

Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., the chapter 7 trustee (the "Trustee") of the substantively consolidated estate of Agape World, Inc., *et al.*, by his attorneys SilvermanAcampora LLP, respectfully submits this application (the "Application") under 11 U.S.C. §105 and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019 for entry of an Order (annexed hereto as **Exhibit A**) approving the proposed settlement of the Trustee's claims under 11 U.S.C. §§548, 550 and 551, New York Debtor and Creditor Law §§273, 274, 275, 276 and 276-a, and New York common law against Gloria Sanelli as memorialized in the Amended Stipulation Settling the Trustee's Claims Against

Gloria Sanelli (the “Stipulation”), which has been annexed hereto as **Exhibit B**. All parties are encouraged to review the annexed Stipulation in its entirety for the specific terms of the proposed settlement.

Background

1. On February 5, 2009 (the “Petition Date”), an involuntary chapter 7 petition was filed by four petitioning creditors (“the Petitioning Creditors”) pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §303(b), against Agape World, Inc. (“AWI”), in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of New York.

2. On February 9, 2008, the Petitioning Creditors filed a motion to appoint an interim chapter 7 trustee under 11 U.S.C. §303(g).

3. On February 12, 2009, the Court granted the Petitioning Creditors’ motion and entered an order directing the United States Trustee’s Office to immediately appoint an interim chapter 7 trustee in the AWI case.

4. On February 12, 2009, Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., was appointed the interim trustee in the AWI case, and has since duly qualified and is now the permanent Trustee in the Debtors’ substantively consolidated case.

5. On March 4, 2009, the Court issued an Order for relief in the AWI chapter 7 case.

6. On April 14, 2009, the Court issued an Order substantively consolidating AWI, Agape Merchant Advance LLC, Agape Community LLC, Agape Construction Management, LLC, Agape World Bridges LLC, and 114 Parkway Drive South LLC (collectively, “Agape” or the “Debtors”).

7. Thereafter, pursuant to an Order of this Court dated April 21, 2009 (Dkt. No. 106), the Trustee retained Navigant Consulting Inc. (“Navigant”) to, among other things, conduct a forensic analysis of Agape’s books and records.

8. Based upon Navigant's analysis, the Trustee determined that Gloria Sanelli ("Defendant"), received net transfers from Agape in a total amount of Seventy-Four Thousand Six Hundred Twenty-Nine and 45/100 (\$74,629.45) Dollars (the "Net Transfers").

9. On January 30, 2011, the Trustee commenced an adversary proceeding against Defendant by the filing and service of a summons and complaint under Adv. Pro. No. 11-08341 (the "Adversary Proceeding") seeking to, *inter alia*, avoid the Net Transfers pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§548, 550 and 551, New York Debtor and Creditor Law §§273, 274, 275, 276 and 276-a, and New York common law and to recover the Net Transfers from Defendant pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §550(a) (the "Trustee's Claims").

10. On September 12, 2011, the Adversary Proceeding was transferred to the Honorable Robert E. Grossman pursuant to an order of the Chief Judge Carla E. Craig. See Docket of Main Case [Docket No. 3711].

11. The Trustee, and Defendant, through their counsel, engaged in settlement discussions in an effort to consensually resolve the Adversary Proceeding and Defendant produced verifiable documentation demonstrating that, in fact, she only received Net Transfers from Agape in the amount of \$41,186.00 (the "Revised Net Transfers"). In order to avoid the costs, expenses and uncertainty of continued litigation, the parties have now agreed to resolve the Trustee's Claims upon the terms and conditions contained in the Stipulation.

12. For all of the reasons set forth herein, the Trustee submits that accepting Defendant's offer to remit \$20,900.00 (the "Settlement Sum") in full and final settlement of the Trustee's Claims is a reasonable exercise of the Trustee's business judgment and is in the best interests of the Debtors' estate.

Settlement

13. The Trustee has determined that settling this matter for the Settlement Sum is the most economical and efficient way to realize a meaningful and beneficial recovery for the benefit of creditors without the need to incur legal fees and risks inherent with the prosecution of

the Trustee's Claims and any resulting judgment enforcement efforts.

14. Indeed, after consultation with his retained professionals and in the exercise of his business judgment, the Trustee has determined that the payment of the Settlement Sum, which is over fifty percent (50%) of the Revised Net Transfers, outweighs the potential net recovery to the estate if the Trustee elected to prosecute the Trustee's Claims through trial and enforce a resulting judgment against the Defendant.

15. In light of the foregoing, and mindful of the costs and risks of litigating the Trustee's Claims, the Trustee has agreed to accept the Settlement Sum.

Basis for Relief Requested

16. Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019(a), which governs the approval of compromises and settlement, provides:

(a) Compromise. On motion by the trustee and after notice and hearing, the court may approve a compromise or settlement. Notice shall be given to creditors, the United States trustee, the debtor, and indenture trustees as provided in Rule 2002 and to any other entity as the court may direct.

17. In approving a compromise and settlement, the Bankruptcy Court is required to make an "informed and independent judgment" as to whether the compromise and settlement is fair and equitable based on an:

[e]ducated estimate of the complexity, expense and likely duration of [any] litigation, the possible difficulties of collecting on any judgment which might be obtained, and all other factors relevant to a full and fair assessment of the wisdom of the proposed compromise. Basic to this process, in every instance, of course, is the need to compare the terms of the compromise with the likely rewards of litigation.

Protective Committee for Independent Stockholders of TMT Trailer Ferry, Inc. v. Anderson, 390 U.S. 414, 424-425, *reh'g denied*, 391 U.S. 909 (1968). See *American Can Co. v. Herpel (In re Jackson Brewing Co.)*, 624 F.2d 605, 607 (5th Cir. 1980); *Chopin Assoc. v. Smith (In re Holywell Corp.)*, 93 B.R. 291, 294 (Bankr.S.D.Fla. 1988); *In re Arrow Air, Inc.*, 85 B.R. 886, 891 (Bankr. S.D. Fla. 1988); *In re Bell & Beckwith*, 77 B.R. 628, 611 (Bankr.N.D.Ohio), *aff'd*, 87 B.R.

472 (N.D. Ohio 1987); *Cf. Magill v. Springfield Marine Bank (In re Heissinger Resources Ltd.)*, 67 B.R. 378, 383 (C.D. Ill. 1986) ("the law favors compromise").

18. In making its determination, the Court should consider whether the proposed compromise is in the "best interest of the estate". *Depo v. Chase Lincoln First Bank, N.A. (In re Depo)*, 77 B.R. 381, 383 (N.D.N.Y. 1987), *aff'd*, 863 F.2d 45 (2d Cir. 1988). As stated in *Arrow Air*, the "approval of [a] proposed compromise and settlement is a matter of this Court's sound discretion." *Arrow Air*, 85 B.R. at 891. In passing upon a proposed settlement, "the bankruptcy court does not substitute its judgment for that of the Trustee [or debtor in possession]". *Depo*, 77 B.R. at 384 (citations omitted). The bankruptcy court is not required "to decide the numerous questions of law and fact raised by [objectors].... [R]ather [the Court should] canvass the issues and see whether the settlement falls below the lowest point in the range of reasonableness." *Cosoff v. Rodman (In re W.T. Grant Co.)*, 699 F.2d 599, 608 (2d Cir.), *cert denied*, 464 U.S. 822 (1983) (*quoting Newman v. Stein*, 464 F.2d 689, 693 (2d Cir.), *cert denied*, 409 U.S. 1039 (1972)). See *Holywell* 93 B.R. at 294. ("In order to exercise this discretion properly, the Court must consider all the relevant facts and evaluate whether the compromise suggested falls below the 'lowest point in the range of reasonableness'" (*quoting In re Teltronics Services, Inc.*, 762 F.2d 185, 189 (2d Cir. 1985)). In passing upon the reasonableness of a proposed compromise, the Court "may give weight to the opinions of the Trustee [or debtor in possession], the parties and their counsel." *Bell & Beckwith*, 77 B.R. at 512.

19. The factors to be considered by the Court in determining whether to approve a compromise or settlement include (a) probability of success in the litigation, with due consideration for the uncertainty in fact and law, (b) the complexity and likely duration of the litigation and any attendant expense, inconvenience and delay, and (c) all other factors bearing on the wisdom of the compromise. *Arrow Air*, 85 B.R. at 891 (*citing TMT Trailer Ferry*, 390 U.S. at 424-25). See *Jackson Brewing Co.*, 624 F.2d at 507; *Holywell Corp.*, 93 B.R. at 294-95 (citations omitted).

20. By offering to voluntarily return the Settlement Sum, Defendant has offered to remit over fifty percent (50%) of the Revised Net Transfers, in satisfaction of the Trustee's Claims without causing the Debtors' estate to incur significant fees or expenses. The Trustee submits that considering the costs and uncertainties associated with trial and judgment enforcement, and the amount of the Trustee's demand, it is unlikely that further litigation would result in a "net benefit" to the Debtors' estate in excess of the Settlement Sum. In the sound business judgment of the Trustee, the proposed settlement is both appropriate and warranted. The Trustee believes that the Settlement is fair and equitable and in the best interest of the estate.

Notice

21. The Trustee has served the Notice of Hearing, proposed Order, and Application in support with Exhibit upon: (i) the Office of the United States Trustee; (ii) Nicholas Cosmo, the former principal of the Debtors; (iii) Defendant; (iv) Defendant's counsel; (v) the appropriate taxing authorities; and (vi) pursuant to the Court's July 8, 2009 Order Establishing Noticing Procedures, all parties having filed a Notice of Appearance in this case, and copies have been posted on the Trustee's website located at www.agapeworldbankruptcy.com. The Trustee respectfully submits that the Trustee's service complies with the Court's Order Establishing Noticing Procedures entered on July 8, 2009, and is otherwise sufficient.

22. A prior application to approve the Trustee's joint settlement with Defendant and Defendant's husband was made to this Court and to the Honorable Dorothy T. Eisenberg on or about March 15, 2012.¹ At the direction of the Court, the Trustee bifurcated the settlements with Defendant and Defendant's husband and now files the instant Application seeking approval of his settlement with Defendant.

¹ The motion made before Judge Eisenberg was filed on or about March 16, 2012.

WHEREFORE, the Trustee respectfully requests that this Court grant this Application authorizing and approving the Stipulation and grant such other further and different relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: Jericho, New York
September 7, 2012

SILVERMANACAMPORA LLP
Attorneys for Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq.,
the chapter 7 trustee

By: s/ David J. Mahoney
Jay S. Hellman
David J. Mahoney
Members of the Firm
100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300
Jericho, New York 11753
(516) 479-6300

EXHIBIT A

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
In re:

AGAPE WORLD, INC.,
AGAPE MERCHANT ADVANCE LLC,
AGAPE COMMUNITY LLC, AGAPE
CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT LLC,
AGAPE WORLD BRIDGES LLC, AND
114 PARKWAY DRIVE SOUTH LLC,

Debtors.

-----X
KENNETH P. SILVERMAN, ESQ., as
Chapter 7 Trustee of Agape World, Inc., *et al.*,

Plaintiff,

-against-

GLORIA SANELLI,

Defendant.
-----X

Chapter 7
Case No.: 09-70660 (DTE)
Substantively Consolidated

Adv. Pro. No.: 11-08341 (REG)

**ORDER UNDER BANKRUPTCY RULE 9019(a) APPROVING A
STIPULATION SETTLING THE TRUSTEE'S CLAIMS AGAINST GLORIA SANELLI**

Upon the Notice of Hearing (the "Notice"), dated September 7, 2012, and related application (collectively, the "Application") of Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., the chapter 7 trustee (the "Trustee") of the substantively consolidated estate of Agape World, Inc., *et al.*, by his counsel, SilvermanAcampora LLP, seeking the entry of an Order approving an Amended Stipulation (the "Stipulation") Settling the Trustee's Claims Against Gloria Sanelli (the "Defendant"); and upon the Affidavit of Service filed with the Court; and no objections to the Application or the proposed Order having been filed; and the Court having found that the settlement of the Trustee's claims pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§548, 550 and 551, New York Debtor and Creditor Law §§273, 274, 275, 276 and 276-a, and New York common law against Defendant to be fair, reasonable and in the best interest of the Debtors' estate; and sufficient cause having been shown therefor; and after due deliberation and consideration having been had; and it appearing that sufficient notice of the Application and proposed Order has been

given; and it appearing that good and sufficient cause exists for granting the Application and proposed Order; and no additional notice being necessary or required; and upon the hearing held before the Honorable Robert E. Grossman, United States Bankruptcy Judge, United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of New York, located at 290 Federal Plaza, Room 860, Central Islip, New York on October 2, 2012 at 9:30 a.m., the transcript of which is incorporated herein by reference; and sufficient cause having been shown therefore; and no additional notice being necessary or required;

NOW, THEREFORE, upon the Notice and Application of the Trustee and pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019(a) and other applicable law, it is hereby

ORDERED, that service of the Notice and Application and proposed Order, having been provided to: (i) the Office of the United States Trustee; (ii) Nicholas Cosmo, former principal of the Debtors, (iii) Defendant; (iv) Defendant's counsel; (v) the appropriate taxing authorities, and (vi) all parties having filed a Notice of Appearance in this case, and copies have been posted on the Trustee's website located at www.agapeworldbankruptcy.com complies with this Court's Order Establishing Noticing Procedures entered on July 8, 2009 and is otherwise sufficient and amended by this Court's Order in this Adversary Proceeding on October 4, 2011, and is otherwise sufficient; and it is further

ORDERED, that the Application is granted, and it is further

ORDERED, that the settlement of the settlement of the Trustee's Claims against Defendant as memorialized in the Stipulation is approved; and it is further

ORDERED, that the Trustee be, and hereby is authorized and directed to take such steps, execute such documents and expend such funds as may be reasonably necessary to effectuate and implement the terms and conditions of this Order.

SO ORDERED:

EXHIBIT B

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
In re:

AGAPE WORLD, INC.,
AGAPE MERCHANT ADVANCE LLC,
AGAPE COMMUNITY LLC, AGAPE
CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT LLC,
AGAPE WORLD BRIDGES LLC, AND
114 PARKWAY DRIVE SOUTH LLC,

Debtors.

Chapter 7
Case No.: 09-70660 (DTE)
Substantively Consolidated

-----X
KENNETH P. SILVERMAN, ESQ., as
Chapter 7 Trustee of Agape World, Inc., *et al.*,

Plaintiff,

Adv. Pro. No.: 11-08341 (REG)

-against-

GLORIA SANELLI,

Defendant.
-----X

**AMENDED STIPULATION SETTLING THE
TRUSTEE'S CLAIMS AGAINST GLORIA SANELLI**

I. On February 5, 2009 (the "Filing Date"), an involuntary chapter 7 petition was filed by four petitioning creditors (the "Petitioning Creditors") pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §303(b), against Agape World, Inc. ("AWI"), in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of New York.

II. On February 9, 2009, the Petitioning Creditors filed a motion to appoint an interim chapter 7 trustee under 11 U.S.C. §303(g).

III. On February 12, 2009, the Court granted the Petitioning Creditors' motion and entered an order directing the United States Trustee's Office to immediately appoint an interim chapter 7 trustee in the AWI case.

IV. On February 12, 2009, Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., was appointed the interim trustee in the AWI case, and has since duly qualified and is now the permanent Trustee in the Debtors' substantively consolidated case.

V. On March 4, 2009, the Court issued an Order for relief in the AWI chapter 7 case.

VI. On April 14, 2009, the Court issued an Order substantively consolidating AWI, Agape Merchant Advance LLC, Agape Community LLC, Agape Construction Management, LLC, Agape World Bridges LLC, and 114 Parkway Drive South LLC (collectively, the "Debtors").

VII. The Trustee and his counsel have investigated the financial affairs of the Debtors, including a detailed analysis of the extent and validity of certain transfers made by the Debtors to Gloria Sanelli ("Defendant") prior to the Filing Date.

VIII. On January 30, 2011, the Trustee commenced an adversary proceeding against Defendant by the filing of a complaint, wherein the Trustee asserted that certain transfers totaling \$74,629.45 (the "Net Transfers") made by the Debtors to Defendant are avoidable pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§548, 550 and 551, New York Debtor and Creditor Law §§273, 274, 275, 276 and 276-a, and New York common law (the "Trustee's Claims").

IX. Thereafter, Defendant retained her undersigned counsel to defend against the Trustee's Claims.

X. The parties engaged in informal discovery related to the Trustee's Claims and the defenses asserted by Defendant whereby it was determined that Defendant deposited a total of \$33,443.45 with Agape and received a total of \$74,629.45 in disbursements from Agape thereby reducing her Net Transfers to \$41,186.00.

XI. In the spirit of compromise and without any admission of liability, Defendant has remitted the sum of \$20,900.00 (the "Settlement Sum") to the Trustee in full and final settlement of the Trustee's Claims.

XII. Based upon his review of all documentation related to the Transfers and his investigation of all attendant factors, the Trustee has, in his business judgment, agreed to settle

the Trustee's Claims upon the following terms and conditions, which the Trustee believes are fair and reasonable, especially in light of the costs and uncertainty associated with litigation.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and among the parties hereto, that the Trustee's Claims be resolved upon the terms and conditions set forth herein as follows:

Defendant's Obligation to Pay the Settlement Sum

1. This Amended Stipulation (the "Stipulation") is subject to the approval of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of New York (the "Approval Order").
2. The Settlement Sum shall be held by the Trustee in a segregated "Earnest Money" account until the Approval Order becomes final and non-appealable under 28 U.S.C. §158(c)(2) and Bankruptcy Rule 8002.
3. Upon the Approval Order becoming final and non-appealable, the fourteenth day after the date of the entry of the Approval Order, the Trustee will transfer the Settlement Sum being held in the segregated "Earnest Money" account into the Debtors' estate account. If the Bankruptcy Court denies the entry of the Approval Order, the Trustee will return the Settlement Sum to Defendant's counsel without undue delay.
4. If the Court does not enter the Approval Order, this Stipulation will be null and void and none of the terms herein shall be usable as evidence by either party.

Releases

5. Upon the entry of the Approval Order, the Trustee and the estate release and forever discharge Defendant, her affiliated entities, agents, representatives, attorneys, assigns and successors-in-interest from any and all claims, claims for relief, demands, costs, expenses, damages, liabilities, and obligations of any nature arising out of or relating to the Trustee's Claims. For purposes of clarification, nothing contained herein shall be construed to be a release by the Trustee of any claims that the Trustee may have or subsequently discover under

11 U.S.C. §550(a)(2) and the Trustee is specifically not releasing any claims under 11 U.S.C. §550(a)(2).

6. Upon the entry of the Approval Order, Defendant releases, discharges and waives any and all claims against the Debtor's Estate, the Trustee and the Trustee's agents, attorneys, assigns and successors-in-interest from any and all claims, claims for relief, demands, costs, expenses, damages, liabilities, and obligations of any nature.

7. Nothing contained in this Stipulation can be construed as a waiver of the Trustee's right to object to any proof of claim.

No Admission

8. It is understood and agreed that this Stipulation is entered into to avoid costly and protracted litigation. Neither the execution of this Stipulation, nor the payment of the Settlement Sum shall be construed as an admission on Defendant's part.

Miscellaneous

9. This Stipulation may be executed in one or more counterparts, with each part being deemed a part of the original document, and facsimile or other electronic signatures shall be deemed an original signature.

10. The person executing this Stipulation on behalf of each respective party warrants and represents that she or he is authorized and empowered to execute and deliver this Stipulation on behalf of such party.

11. The Bankruptcy Court shall retain exclusive jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Stipulation, including but not limited to its enforcement and the implementation and interpretation of its terms and conditions.

12. This Stipulation shall be governed by the laws of the State of New York, except with respect to matters as to which federal law is applicable without regard to any conflicts of law principles.

13. This Stipulation may not be altered, modified, or changed unless in writing, signed by the parties or their counsel.

14. The Trustee and Defendant are each responsible for their own costs and attorneys' fees incurred in connection with this proceeding.

Dated: Jericho, New York
August __, 2012
September 7

SILVERMANACAMPORA LLP
Attorneys for Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq.,
The Chapter 7 Trustee

By: s/ David J. Mahoney
David J. Mahoney
Jay S. Hellman
Members of the Firm
100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300
Jericho, New York 11753
(516) 479-6300

Dated: Garden City, New York
August __, 2012
September 5

VINCENT M. LENTINI, ESQ.
Attorney for Antonio and Gloria Sanelli

By: s/ Vincent M. Lentini
Vincent M. Lentini, Esq.
600 Old Country Road, Suite 202
Garden City NY 11530
(516) 228-3214

Dated: Maspeth, New York
August __, 2012
September 5

GLORIA SANELLI
Defendant

s/ Gloria Sanelli
Gloria Sanelli
6701 52nd Avenue
Maspeth, New York 11378