Hearing Date: October 30, 2012 SILVERMANACAMPORA LLP Counsel to Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., Time: 10:00 a.m. Chapter 7 Trustee 100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300 Objections Due: October 23, 2012 Jericho, New York 11753 Time: 5:00 p.m. (516) 479-6300 Jay S. Hellman, Esq. David J. Mahoney, Esq. UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Chapter 7 In re: Case No.: 09-70660 (DTE) AGAPE WORLD, INC., Substantively Consolidated AGAPE MERCHANT ADVANCE LLC. AGAPE COMMUNITY LLC, AGAPE CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT LLC. AGAPE WORLD BRIDGES LLC, AND 114 PARKWAY DRIVE SOUTH LLC, Debtors. KENNETH P. SILVERMAN, ESQ., as Chapter 7 Trustee of Agape World, Inc., et al., Plaintiff, Adv. Pro. No.: 10-08225 (DTE) -against-EDWARD ANDRADE.

NOTICE OF HEARING OF PROPOSED ORDER UNDER BANKRUPTCY RULE 9019(a) APPROVING A STIPULATION SETTLING THE TRUSTEE'S CLAIMS AGAINST EDWARD ANDRADE

Defendant.

-----X

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that upon the application (the "Motion") of Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., the chapter 7 trustee (the "Trustee") for the substantively consolidated estate of Agape World, Inc., et al., by his counsel, SilvermanAcampora LLP, the Trustee will move before the Honorable Dorothy T. Eisenberg, United States Bankruptcy Judge, at the United States Bankruptcy Court, Courtroom 760, Eastern District of New York at Central Islip, 290 Federal Plaza, Central Islip, New York 11722 on October 30, 2012 at 10:00 a.m., or soon thereafter as counsel can be heard for an entry of an order granting the Trustee's Motion for an

Order Approving the Stipulation of Settlement. A copy of the proposed Order is annexed hereto.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE, that objections, if any, to the relief requested in the Motion or the proposed Order must be (i) made in writing; (ii) electronically filed with the Bankruptcy Court; (iii) delivered to Chambers of the Honorable Dorothy T. Eisenberg, United States Bankruptcy Judge, United States Bankruptcy Court, Eastern District of New York, Alfonso M. D'Amato Federal Courthouse, 290 Federal Plaza, Central Islip, New York 11722; (iv) mailed to SilvermanAcampora LLP, 100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300, Jericho, New York 11753, Attn: David J. Mahoney, Esq.; and (v) mailed to the Office of the United States Trustee, 560 Federal Plaza, Central Islip, New York 11722, no later than October 23, 2012.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE, that the Hearing may be adjourned without further notice other than by announcement of such adjournment in open Court.

Dated: Jericho, New York October 5, 2012

SILVERMANACAMPORA LLP

Counsel to Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., the

Chapter 7 Trustee

By: s/ David J. Mahoney

(516) 479-6300

David J. Mahoney
Jay S. Hellman
Members of the Firm
100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300
Jericho, New York 11753

SILVERMANACAMPORA LLP Counsel to Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., Chapter 7 Trustee 100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300 Jericho, New York 11753 (516) 479-6300 Jay S. Hellman, Esq. David J. Mahoney, Esq.		Hearing Date: October 30, 2012 Time: 10:00 p.m.	
		Objections Due: October 23, 2012 Time: 5:00 p.m.	
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTO EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW	/ORK	V	
In re: AGAPE WORLD, INC., AGAPE MERCHANT ADVANCE LLC, AGAPE COMMUNITY LLC, AGAPE CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT LLC, AGAPE WORLD BRIDGES LLC, AND 114 PARKWAY DRIVE SOUTH LLC,		Chapter 7 Case No.: 09-70660 (DTE) Substantively Consolidated	
			De
KENNETH P. SILVERMAN, ESC Chapter 7 Trustee of Agape Wor)., as		
Pla	intiff,	Adv. Dro. No.: 40.00005 (DTE)	
-against-		Adv. Pro. No.: 10-08225 (DTE)	
EDWARD ANDRADE,			
De	fendant.		

TRUSTEE'S APPLICATION UNDER BANKRUPTCY RULE 9019(a) SEEKING THE ENTRY OF AN ORDER APPROVING A STIPULATION SETTLING THE TRUSTEE'S CLAIMS AGAINST EDWARD ANDRADE

Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., the chapter 7 trustee (the "Trustee") of the substantively consolidated estate of Agape World, Inc., *et al.*, by his attorneys SilvermanAcampora LLP, respectfully submits this application (the "Application") under 11 U.S.C. §105 and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019 for entry of an Order (annexed hereto as **Exhibit A**) approving the proposed settlement of the Trustee's claims under 11 U.S.C. §§544, 548, 550 and 551, New York Debtor and Creditor Law §§273, 274, 275, 276 and 276-a, and New York common law against Edward Andrade as memorialized in the Stipulation Settling the Trustee's Claims

Against Edward Andrade (the "Stipulation"), which has been annexed hereto as **Exhibit B.** All parties are encouraged to review the annexed Stipulation in its entirety for the specific terms of the proposed settlement.

Background

- 1. On February 5, 2009 (the "Petition Date"), an involuntary chapter 7 petition was filed by four petitioning creditors ("the Petitioning Creditors") pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §303(b), against Agape World, Inc. ("AWI"), in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of New York.
- 2. On February 9, 2008, the Petitioning Creditors filed a motion to appoint an interim chapter 7 trustee under 11 U.S.C. §303(g).
- 3. On February 12, 2009, the Court granted the Petitioning Creditors' motion and entered an order directing the United States Trustee's Office to immediately appoint an interim chapter 7 trustee in the AWI case.
- 4. On February 12, 2009, Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., was appointed the interim trustee in the AWI case, and has since duly qualified and is now the permanent Trustee in the Debtors' substantively consolidated case.
 - 5. On March 4, 2009, the Court issued an Order for relief in the AWI chapter 7 case.
- 6. On April 14, 2009, the Court issued an Order substantively consolidating AWI, Agape Merchant Advance LLC, Agape Community LLC, Agape Construction Management, LLC, Agape World Bridges LLC, and 114 Parkway Drive South LLC (collectively, "Agape" or the "Debtors").
- 7. Thereafter, pursuant to an Order of this Court dated April 21, 2009 (Dkt. No. 106), the Trustee retained Navigant Consulting Inc. ("Navigant") to, among other things, conduct a forensic analysis of Agape's books and records.
- 8. Based upon Navigant's analysis, the Trustee determined that Edward Andrade ("Defendant") received certain transfers totaling Thirty Thousand Three Hundred Fifty-Five

(\$30,355.00) Dollars (the "Transfers") made by the Debtors to the Defendant that are avoidable pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§544, 548, 550 and 551, New York Debtor and Creditor Law §§273, 274, 275, 276 and 276-a, and New York common law.

- 9. On June 4, 2010, the Trustee commenced an adversary proceeding against the Defendant (the "Adversary Proceeding") by the filing and service of a summons and complaint under Adv. Pro. No. 10-08227 seeking to, *inter alia,* avoid the Transfers pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§544, 547, 548, 550 and 551, New York Debtor and Creditor Law §§273, 274, 275, 276 and 276-a, and New York common law and to recover the Transfers from Defendant pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §550(a) (the "Trustee's Claims").
- 10. The parties engaged in informal discovery related to the Trustee's Claims and the defenses asserted by Defendant whereby Defendant produced documentation demonstrating that he provided consideration totaling \$27,000.00 to Agape in exchange for the Transfers.
- 11. The Trustee and the Defendant, through his counsel, engaged in settlement discussions in an effort to consensually resolve the Adversary Proceeding. In order to avoid the costs, expenses and uncertainty of continued litigation, the parties have now agreed to resolve the Trustee's Claims upon the terms and conditions contained in the Stipulation.
- 12. Defendant has offered to remit the sum of Two Thousand and 00/100 (\$2,000.00) Dollars (the "Settlement Sum") to the Trustee.
- 13. For all of the reasons set forth herein, the Trustee submits that accepting Defendant's offer to remit the Settlement Sum in full and final settlement of the Trustee's Claims is a reasonable exercise of the Trustee's business judgment and is in the best interests of the Debtors' estate.

Settlement

14. The Trustee has determined that settling this matter for the Settlement Sum is the most economical and efficient way to realize a meaningful and beneficial recovery for the

benefit of creditors without the need to incur legal fees and risks inherent with the prosecution of the Trustee's Claims and any resulting judgment efforts.

- 15. After consultation with his retained professionals and in the exercise of his business judgment, the Trustee has determined that the voluntary return of the Settlement Sum outweighs the potential net recovery to the estate if the Trustee elected to prosecute the Trustee's Claims through trial and enforce a resulting judgment against the Defendant.
- 16. In light of the foregoing and mindful of the costs and risks of litigating the Trustee's Claims, the Trustee has agreed to accept the Settlement Sum.

Basis for Relief Requested

- 17. Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019(a), which governs the approval of compromises and settlement, provides:
 - (a) Compromise. On motion by the trustee and after notice and hearing, the court may approve a compromise or settlement. Notice shall be given to creditors, the United States trustee, the debtor, and indenture trustees as provided in Rule 2002 and to any other entity as the court may direct.
- 18. In approving a compromise and settlement, the Bankruptcy Court is required to make an "informed and independent judgment" as to whether the compromise and settlement is fair and equitable based on an:

[e]ducated estimate of the complexity, expense and likely duration of [any] litigation, the possible difficulties of collecting on any judgment which might be obtained, and all other factors relevant to a full and fair assessment of the wisdom of the proposed compromise. Basic to this process, in every instance, of course, is the need to compare the terms of the compromise with the likely rewards of litigation.

Protective Committee for Independent Stockholders of TMT Trailer Ferry, Inc. v. Anderson, 390 U.S. 414, 424-425, reh'g denied, 391 U.S. 909 (1968). See American Can Co. v. Herpel (In re Jackson Brewing Co.), 624 F.2d 605, 607 (5th Cir. 1980); Chopin Assoc. v. Smith (In re Holywell Corp.), 93 B.R. 291, 294 (Bankr. S.D. Fla. 1988); In re Arrow Air, Inc., 85 B.R. 886, 891 (Bankr. S.D. Fla. 1988); In re Bell & Beckwith, 77 B.R. 628, 611 (Bankr. N.D. Ohio), aff'd, 87 B.R. 472 (N.D. Ohio 1987); Cf. Magill v. Springfield Marine Bank (In re Heissinger Resources

Ltd.), 67 B.R. 378, 383 (C.D. III. 1986) ("the law favors compromise").

- In making its determination, the Court should consider whether the proposed 19. compromise is in the "best interest of the estate". Depo v. Chase Lincoln First Bank, N.A. (In re Depo), 77 B.R. 381, 383 (N.D.N.Y. 1987), aff'd, 863 F.2d 45 (2d Cir. 1988). As stated in Arrow Air, the "approval of [a] proposed compromise and settlement is a matter of this Court's sound discretion." Arrow Air, 85 B.R. at 891. In passing upon a proposed settlement, "the bankruptcy court does not substitute its judgment for that of the Trustee [or debtor in possession]." Depo, 77 B.R. at 384 (citations omitted). The bankruptcy court is not required "to decide the numerous questions of law and fact raised by [objectors].... [R]ather [the Court should] canvass the issues and see whether the settlement falls below the lowest point in the range of reasonableness." Cosoff v. Rodman (In re W.T. Grant Co.), 699 F.2d 599, 608 (2d Cir.), cert denied, 464 U.S. 822 (1983) (quoting Newman v. Stein, 464 F.2d 689, 693 (2d Cir.), cert denied, 409 U.S. 1039 (1972). See Holywell 93 B.R. at 294. ("In order to exercise this discretion properly, the Court must consider all the relevant facts and evaluate whether the compromise suggested falls below the 'lowest point in the range of reasonableness'") (quoting In re Teltronics Services, Inc., 762 F.2d. 185, 189 (2d Cir. 1985). In passing upon the reasonableness of a proposed compromise, the Court "may give weight to the opinions of the Trustee [or debtor in possession], the parties and their counsel." Bell & Beckwith, 77 B.R. at 512.
- 20. The factors to be considered by the Court in determining whether to approve a compromise or settlement include (a) probability of success in the litigation, with due consideration for the uncertainty in fact and law, (b) the complexity and likely duration of the litigation and any attendant expense, inconvenience and delay, and (c) all other factors bearing on the wisdom of the compromise. *Arrow Air*, 85 B.R. at 891 (*citing TMT Trailer Ferry*, 390 U.S. at 424-25). *See Jackson Brewing Co.*, 624 F.2d at 507; *Holywell Corp.*, 93 B.R. at 294-95 (citations omitted).

21. By offering to voluntarily return the Settlement Sum, Defendant has offered to remit a significant portion of the Transfers, after deposits by Defendant, for which the Trustee believes the Defendant may be liable, in satisfaction of the Trustee's Claims without causing the Debtors' estate to incur significant fees or expenses. The Trustee submits that considering the costs and uncertainties associated with trial and judgment enforcement, it is unlikely that further litigation would result in a "net benefit" to the Debtors' estate in excess of the Settlement Sum. In the sound business judgment of the Trustee, the proposed settlement is both appropriate and warranted. The Trustee believes that the settlement is fair and equitable and in the best interest of the estate.

Notice

- 22. The Trustee has served the Notice of Hearing, proposed Order, and Motion in support with Exhibit upon: (i) the Office of the United States Trustee; (ii) Nicholas Cosmo, former principal of the Debtors, (iii) Defendant, (iv) the appropriate taxing authorities, and (v) all parties having filed a Notice of Appearance in this case, and copies have been posted on the Trustee's website located at www.agapeworldbankruptcy.com. The Trustee respectfully submits that the proposed service complies with this Court's Order Establishing Noticing Procedures entered on July 8, 2009 and is otherwise sufficient.
- 23. No previous application for the relief requested herein has been made to this or any other Court.

WHEREFORE, the Trustee respectfully requests that this Court grant this Application authorizing and approving the Stipulation and grant such other further and different relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: Jericho, New York October 5, 2012

SILVERMANACAMPORA LLP

Attorneys for Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq.,

the chapter 7 trustee

By: <u>s/ David J. Mahoney</u>

David J. Mahoney
Jay S. Hellman
Members of the Firm

100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300

Jericho, New York 11753

(516) 479-6300

EXHIBIT A

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
In re:	Chapter 7 Case No.: 09-70660 (DTE) Substantively Consolidated
AGAPE WORLD, INC., AGAPE MERCHANT ADVANCE LLC, AGAPE COMMUNITY LLC, AGAPE CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT LLC, AGAPE WORLD BRIDGES LLC, AND 114 PARKWAY DRIVE SOUTH LLC,	
Debtors.	
KENNETH P. SILVERMAN, ESQ., as Chapter 7 Trustee of Agape World, Inc., <i>et al.</i> ,	
Plaintiff,	Adv. Pro. No.: 10-08225 (DTE)
-against-	Adv. 110. No.: 10 00220 (0.12)
EDWARD ANDRADE,	
Defendant. x	

ORDER UNDER BANKRUPTCY RULE 9019(a) APPROVING A STIPULATION SETTLING THE TRUSTEE'S CLAIMS AGAINST EDWARD ANDRADE

Upon the Notice of Hearing (the "Notice"), dated October 5, 2012, and related application (collectively, the "Application") of Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., the chapter 7 trustee (the "Trustee") of the substantively consolidated estate of Agape World, Inc., et al., by his counsel, SilvermanAcampora LLP, seeking the entry of an Order approving a Stipulation (the "Stipulation") Settling the Trustee's Claims Against Edward Andrade (the "Defendant"); and upon the Affidavit of Service filed with the Court; and no objections to the Application or the proposed Order having been filed; and the Court having found that the settlement of the Trustee's claims pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§544, 548, 550 and 551, New York Debtor and Creditor Law §§273, 274, 275, 276 and 276-a, and New York common law against Defendant to be fair, reasonable and in the best interest of the Debtors' estate; and sufficient cause having been shown therefor; and after due deliberation and consideration having been had; and it appearing

that sufficient notice of the Application and proposed Order has been given; and it appearing that good and sufficient cause exists for granting the Application and proposed Order; and no additional notice being necessary or required:

NOW, THEREFORE, upon the Notice and Application of the Trustee and pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019(a) and other applicable law, it is hereby

ORDERED, that service of the Notice and Application and proposed Order, having been provided to: (i) the Office of the United States Trustee; (ii) Nicholas Cosmo, former principal of the Debtors, (iii) Defendant, (iv) the appropriate taxing authorities, and (v) all parties having filed a Notice of Appearance in this case, and copies have been posted on the Trustee's website located at www.agapeworldbankruptcy.com complies with this Court's Order Establishing Noticing Procedures entered on July 8, 2009 and is otherwise sufficient; and it is further

ORDERED, that the Application is granted, and it is further

ORDERED, that the settlement of the Trustee's Claims against Defendant as memorialized in the Stipulation is approved; and it is further

ORDERED, that the Trustee be, and hereby is authorized and directed to take such steps, execute such documents and expend such funds as may be reasonably necessary to effectuate and implement the terms and conditions of this Order.

EXHIBIT B

EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
In re:	Chapter 7 Case No.: 09-70660 (DTE)	
AGAPE WORLD, INC., AGAPE MERCHANT ADVANCE LLC, AGAPE COMMUNITY LLC, AGAPE CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT LLC, AGAPE WORLD BRIDGES LLC, AND 114 PARKWAY DRIVE SOUTH LLC,	Substantively Consolidated	
Debtors.		
KENNETH P. SILVERMAN, ESQ., as Chapter 7 Trustee of Agape World, Inc., et al.,		
Plaintiff,	Adv. Pro. No.: 10-08225 (DTE)	
-against-	,	
EDWARD ANDRADE,		
Defendant. x		
~		

STIPULATION SETTLING THE TRUSTEE'S CLAIMS AGAINST EDWARD ANDRADE

- I. On February 5, 2009 (the "Filing Date"), an involuntary chapter 7 petition was filed by four petitioning creditors (the "Petitioning Creditors") pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §303(b), against Agape World, Inc. ("AWI"), in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of New York.
- II. On February 9, 2009, the Petitioning Creditors filed a motion to appoint an interim chapter 7 trustee under 11 U.S.C. §303(g).
- III. On February 12, 2009, the Court granted the Petitioning Creditors' motion and entered an order directing the United States Trustee's Office to immediately appoint an interim chapter 7 trustee in the AWI case.

- IV. On February 12, 2009, Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., was appointed the interim trustee in the AWI case, and has since duly qualified and is now the permanent Trustee in the Debtors' substantively consolidated case.
 - V. On March 4, 2009, the Court issued an Order for relief in the AWI chapter 7 case.
- VI. On April 14, 2009, the Court issued an Order substantively consolidating AWI, Agape Merchant Advance LLC, Agape Community LLC, Agape Construction Management, LLC, Agape World Bridges LLC, and 114 Parkway Drive South LLC (collectively, the "Debtors").
- VII. The Trustee and his counsel have investigated the financial affairs of the Debtors, including a detailed analysis of the extent and validity of certain transfers made by the Debtors to Edward Andrade ("Defendant").
- VIII. On June 4, 2010, the Trustee commenced this adversary proceeding against Defendant by the filing of a complaint, wherein the Trustee asserted that certain transfers totaling \$30,355.00 (the "Net Transfers") made by the Debtors to the Defendant prior to the Filing Date are avoidable pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§544, 548 and 550 and New York Debtor and Creditor Law §§273 through 275 and New York Common Law (the "Trustee's Claims").
- IX. Thereafter parties engaged in informal discovery related to the Trustee's Claims, the Motion and the defenses asserted by Defendant whereby it was determined that Defendant deposited a total of \$27,000.00 with the Debtors and received a total of \$30,355.00 from the Debtors thereby reducing the Net Transfers to \$3,355.00
- X. In the spirit of compromise and without any admission of liability, Defendant has offered to remit the sum of \$2,000.00 (the "Settlement Sum") to the Trustee in full and final settlement of the Trustee's Claims.
- XI. Based upon the his review of all documentation related to the Net Transfers and his investigation of all attendant factors, the Trustee has, in his business judgment, agreed to settle the Trustee's Claims upon the following terms and conditions, which the Trustee believes are fair and reasonable, especially in light of the costs and uncertainty associated with litigation.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and among the parties hereto, that the Trustee's Claims be resolved upon the terms and conditions set forth herein as follows:

Defendant's Obligation to Pay the Settlement Sum

- 1. Upon execution of this Stipulation, Defendant will remit the Settlement Sum to "Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq., as Chapter 7 Trustee," by delivering a check to Trustee's counsel at SilvermanAcampora LLP, 100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300, Jericho, New York, 11753, Attention: Wade C. Wilkinson, Esq.
- 2. Upon receipt of the Settlement Sum, Trustee's counsel will transfer said payment to the Trustee to be deposited into the Debtor's estate. Defendant acknowledges and agrees that each installment of the Settlement Sum shall become part of the Debtors' estate without Order of the Court pursuant to the "Procedures Order" entered on October 1, 2010.
- 3. Upon receipt and clearance of the Settlement Sum, the Trustee will withdraw the pending motion for default judgment without prejudice.

<u>Releases</u>

- 4. Upon execution of this Stipulation and the Trustee's receipt and clearance of the full Settlement Sum, the Trustee and the estate release and forever discharge Defendant, his affiliated entities, agents, representatives, attorneys, assigns and successors-in-interest from any and all claims, claims for relief, demands, costs, expenses, damages, liabilities, and obligations of any nature arising out of or relating to the Trustee's Claims. For purposes of clarification, nothing contained herein shall be construed to be a release by the Trustee of any claims that the Trustee may have or subsequently discover under 11 U.S.C. §550(a)(2) and the Trustee is specifically not releasing any claims under 11 U.S.C. §550(a)(2).
- 5. Upon the execution of this Stipulation, Defendant releases, discharges and waives any and all claims against the Debtor's estate, the Trustee and the Trustee's agents,

attorneys, assigns and successors-in-interest from any and all claims, proofs of claim, claims for relief, demands, costs, expenses, damages, liabilities, and obligations of any nature.

No Admission

6. It is understood and agreed that this Stipulation is entered into to avoid costly and protracted litigation. Neither the execution of this Stipulation, nor the payment of the Settlement Sum shall be construed as an admission on Defendant's part. For clarification, this paragraph is not intended and shall not be deemed to affect Defendant's obligation to make timely payment of the Settlement Sum or adversely affect the Trustee's rights and remedies under paragraph 2 in the event that Defendant fails to make timely payment of the Settlement Sum.

Miscellaneous

- 7. This Stipulation may be executed in one or more counterparts, with each part being deemed a part of the original document, and facsimile or other electronic signatures shall be deemed an original signature.
- 8. The person executing this Stipulation on behalf of each respective party warrants and represents that she or he is authorized and empowered to execute and deliver this Stipulation on behalf of such party.
- 9. This Stipulation may not be altered, modified, or changed unless in writing, signed by the parties or their counsel.
- 10. The Bankruptcy Court shall retain exclusive jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Stipulation, including but not limited to its enforcement and the implementation and interpretation of its terms and conditions.
- 11. This Stipulation shall be governed by the laws of the State of New York, except with respect to matters as to which federal law is applicable without regard to any conflicts of law principles.

The Trustee and Defendant are each responsible for their own costs and 12. attorneys' fees incurred in connection with this proceeding.

Dated: Jericho, New York July ___, 2012

SILVERMANACAMPORA LLP

Attorneys for Kenneth P. Silverman, Esq.,

The Chapter 7 Trustee

By: s/ David J. Mahoney

David J. Mahoney Jay S. Hellman Members of the Firm

100 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300

Jericho, New York 11753

(516) 479-6300

Dated: East Elmhurst, New York

July ___, 2012

EDWARD ANDRADE

Pro Se Defendant

s/ Edward Andrade By:

Edward Andrade 80-14 31st Avenue

East Elmhurst, New York 11370